

A Mixed Problem for a Boussinesq Hyperbolic Equation With Integral Condition

Said Mesloub and Abdelouahab Mansour

King Saoud university, departement of mathematics Riadh, Saoudi Arabia.
e-mail:mesloubs@yahoo.com

Camille Jordan Institute, Claude Bernard University-Lyon1, France.
e-mail:amansour@math.univ-lyon1.fr

Abstract

A hyperbolic problem wich combines a classical(Dirichlet) and a non-local constraint is considered. The existence and uniqueness of strong solution are proved, we use a functional analysis method based on a priori estimate and on the density of the range of the operator generated by the considered problem.

Keywords: *A priori estimate, hyperbolic equation, integral condition.*

1 Introduction

The first study of evolution problems with a nonlocal condition - the so called energy specification - goes back to Cannon[5], 1963 Using an integral condition, we proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution of a mixed problem which combine a classical (Dirichlet)and an integral condition for the equation. Problems involving local and integral condition for hyperbolic equations are investigated by the energy inequalities method in [1] , [6] , [7] , [8] , [9] , [10] , [11], and [12] . In this paper, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution for the mixed problem (1) – (5) . Our proof is based on a priori estimate and on the fact that the range of the operator generated by the considered problem is dense, in the end some open problems are given.

2 Problem Formulation

In the region $Q = (0, l) \times (0, T)$, with $l < \infty$ and $T < \infty$, we shall consider the problem

$$Lu = u_{tt} - (b(x, t)u_x)_x - \beta \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^2 \partial x^2} = f(x, t), \forall (x, t) \in Q \quad (1)$$

$$l_1 u = u(x, 0) = \varphi_1(x), \quad x \in (0, l) \quad (2)$$

$$l_2 u = u_t(x, 0) = \varphi_2(x), \quad x \in (0, l) \quad (3)$$

$$u(0, t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, T) \quad (4)$$

$$\int_0^l x u(x, t) dx = 0, \quad t \in (0, T) \quad (5)$$

where β is a strictly positive real number and $b(x, t)$ and its derivatives satisfy the conditions:

- $C_1 : b_0 \leq b(x, t) \leq b_1, b_t(x, t) \leq b_2, b_x(x, t) \leq b_3$, for any $(x, t) \in \bar{Q}$,
 $C_2 : b_{tt}(x, t) \leq b_4, b_{xt}(x, t) \leq b_5$, for any $(x, t) \in \bar{Q}$.

The functions f , φ_1 and φ_2 are known functions which satisfy the compatibility conditions:

$$\varphi_1(0) = \varphi_2(0) = \int_0^l x \varphi_1(x) dx = \int_0^l x \varphi_2(x) dx = 0.$$

3 Functional Spaces

The problem (1)-(5) can be put in the following operator form: $\mathcal{L}u = \mathcal{F}$, $u \in D(\mathcal{L})$, where

$$\mathcal{L}u = (Lu, l_1 u, l_2 u) \text{ and } \mathcal{F} = (f, \varphi_1, \varphi_2).$$

The operator \mathcal{L} is considered from B to H , where B is the Banach space consisting of functions $u \in L^2(Q)$, satisfying conditions (4) and (5) with the finite norm

$$\|u\|_B^2 = \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \left[\|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 + \|u_t(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 \right] \quad (6)$$

and H is the Hilbert space $L^2(Q) \times L^2(0, l) \times L^2(0, l)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_H^2 = \|f\|_{L^2(Q^\tau)}^2 + \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 + \|\varphi_2\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2.$$

Let $D(\mathcal{L})$ denote the domain of \mathcal{L} which is the set of all functions $u \in L^2(Q)$ for which $u_t, u_x, u_{tx}, u_{tt}, u_{ttx} \in L^2(Q)$ and satisfying conditions (4) and (5).

4 A priori estimate and its consequences

Theorem 1. For any function $u \in D(\mathcal{L})$ satisfyies conditions C₁-C₂ there exists a positive constant c , such that

$$\|u\|_B \leq c \|\mathcal{L}u\|_H, \quad (7)$$

Proof. We consider the scalair product in $L^2(Q^\tau)$ of the operator Lu and Mu , where $Mu = x\mathfrak{S}_x^*u_t - \mathfrak{S}_x^*(\rho u_t)$, with $Q^\tau = (0, l) \times (0, \tau)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq T$, and $\mathfrak{S}_x^*v = \int_x^l v(\xi, t)d\xi$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (Lu, Mu)_{L^2(Q^\tau)} &= (u_{tt}, x\mathfrak{S}_x^*u_t)_{L^2(Q^\tau)} - ((b(x, t)u_x)_x, x\mathfrak{S}_x^*u_t)_{L^2(Q^\tau)} \\ &\quad - \beta(u_{ttxx}, x\mathfrak{S}_x^*u_t)_{L^2(Q^\tau)} - (u_{tt}, \mathfrak{S}_x^*(\rho u_t))_{L^2(Q^\tau)} \\ &\quad + ((b(x, t)u_x)_x, \mathfrak{S}_x^*(\rho u_t))_{L^2(Q^\tau)} + \beta(u_{ttxx}, \mathfrak{S}_x^*(\rho u_t))_{L^2(Q^\tau)}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Making use of conditions (2)-(5) and integrating by parts we estabilish the equalities

$$(u_{tt}, x\mathfrak{S}_x^*u_t)_{L^2(Q^\tau)} = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathfrak{S}_x^*u_t(., \tau)\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathfrak{S}_x^*\varphi_2\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 - (\mathfrak{S}_x^*u_{tt}, u_t)_{L_\rho^2(Q^\tau)}, \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -((b(x, t)u_x)_x, x\mathfrak{S}_x^*(u_t))_{L^2(Q^\tau)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b(., \tau)}u(., \tau) \right\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b(., 0)}\varphi_1 \right\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 &- \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b_t(., t)}u \right\|_{L^2(Q^\tau)}^2 - (b_x(x, t)u, \mathfrak{S}_x^*u_t)_{L^2(Q^\tau)} \\ &\quad - (b(x, t)u_x, u_t)_{L_\rho^2(Q^\tau)}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$-\beta(u_{ttxx}, x\mathfrak{S}_x^*(u_t))_{L^2(Q^\tau)} = \frac{\beta}{2} \|u_t(., \tau)\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\varphi_2\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 - \beta(u_{ttx}, u_t)_{L_\rho^2(Q^\tau)}. \quad (11)$$

$$-(u_{tt}, \mathfrak{S}_x^*(\rho u_t))_{L^2(Q^\tau)} = (\mathfrak{S}_x^*u_{tt}, u_t)_{L_\rho^2(Q^\tau)}, \quad (12)$$

$$((b(x, t)u_x)_x, \mathfrak{S}_x^*(\rho u_t))_{L^2(Q^\tau)} = (b(x, t)u_x, u_t)_{L_\rho^2(Q^\tau)}, \quad (13)$$

$$\beta(u_{ttxx}, \mathfrak{S}_x^*(\rho u_t))_{L^2(Q^\tau)} = \beta(u_{ttx}, u_t)_{L_\rho^2(Q^\tau)}. \quad (14)$$

Combining equalities (9)-(14) and (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \|\mathfrak{S}_x^*u_t(., \tau)\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b(., t)}u(., \tau) \right\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|u_t(., \tau)\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathfrak{S}_x^*\varphi_2\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b(., t)}\varphi_1 \right\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\varphi_2\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b_t}u \right\|_{L^2(Q^\tau)}^2 \\ &\quad + (b_x(x, t)u, \mathfrak{S}_x^*u_t)_{L^2(Q^\tau)} + (Lu, x\mathfrak{S}_x^*u_t)_{L^2(Q^\tau)} - (Lu, \mathfrak{S}_x^*(\rho u_t))_{L^2(Q^\tau)}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

By applying the Cauchy inequality to the last three terms on the right-hand side of the inequality (15) and making use conditions C₁, combining with (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(., \tau)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \|u_t(., \tau)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \|\mathfrak{I}_x^* u_t(., \tau)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \\ & \leq k [\|f\|_{L^2(Q^\tau)}^2 + \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \|\varphi_2\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \\ & + \|u\|_{L^2(Q^\tau)}^2 + \|u_t\|_{L^2(Q^\tau)}^2 + \|\mathfrak{I}_x^* u_t\|_{L^2(Q^\tau)}^2], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $k = \frac{\max(2, b_1, \beta + l^2, b_3^2 + b_2, l^4)}{\min(1, b_0, \beta)}$.

Applying the Gronwall lemma to (16), and eliminating the term $\|\mathfrak{I}_x^* u_t(., \tau)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2$ of the left-hand side of the inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(., \tau)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \|u_t(., \tau)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \\ & \leq k \exp(kT) (\|f\|_{L^2(Q^\tau)}^2 + \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \|\varphi_2\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Since the left-hand side of (17) does not depend on τ , we take the supremum with τ from 0 to T , then the estimate (7) follows with $c = \sqrt{k} \exp(k \frac{T}{2})$.

5 Solvability of the problem

Proposition 1. The operator \mathcal{L} acting from B to H have a closure.

Proof. (see [3])

Let $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ be the closure of \mathcal{L} , $D(\overline{\mathcal{L}})$ its domain .

Definition. The solution of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}u = \mathcal{F}$ for any $u \in D(\overline{\mathcal{L}})$ is strong solution of problem(1)-(5).

We take the limit in the inequality (7), we obtain

$$\|u\|_B \leq c \|\overline{\mathcal{L}}u\|_H, \forall u \in D(\overline{\mathcal{L}}). \quad (7bis)$$

From the inequality we have

Corollary 1. The strong solution of problem (1)-(5) when it exists, it's unique, and depends continuly of data f, φ_1, φ_2 .

Corollary 2. The set of values $R(\overline{\mathcal{L}})$ of the operator $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is equal to the closure $\overline{R(\mathcal{L})}$ of $R(\mathcal{L})$.

Theorem 2. If the conditions C₁-C₂ are satisfying, then for any $\mathcal{F} = (f, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in H$, there exists a strong unique solution $u = \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}\mathcal{F} = \overline{\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{F}}$ of the probleme (1)-(5) where the estimate $\|u\|_B \leq c \|\mathcal{F}\|_H$ is satisfying, where c is a positive constant does not depends of u .

Proof. From (7 bis) we conclude that the operator $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ acting from $D(\overline{\mathcal{L}})$ in $R(\overline{\mathcal{L}})$ have an inverse $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}$, and from corollary 2, we conclude that the range

$R(\bar{\mathcal{L}})$ of the operator $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is closed. Then we will be prove the density of the set $R(\mathcal{L})$ in the space H (i.e) $\overline{R(\mathcal{L})} = H$.

For this we need the following proposition

Proposition 2. If, for all functions $u \in D_0(\mathcal{L})$, where

$$D_0(\mathcal{L}) = \{u | u \in D(\mathcal{L}) : l_1 u = l_2 u = 0\},$$

and for some function $\omega \in L^2(Q)$, we have

$$(Lu, \omega)_{L^2(Q)} = 0. \quad (18)$$

then ω vanishes almost everywhere in Q .

Proof of the proposition 2. The relation (18) is given for all $u \in D_0(\mathcal{L})$, we can express it in a particular form. Let u_{tt} be a solution of

$$b(\sigma, t) [x \mathfrak{I}_x^* u_{tt} - \mathfrak{I}_x^*(\rho u_{tt})] = h(x, t), \quad (19)$$

where σ is a constant in $(0, l)$ and $h(x, t) = \int_t^T \omega(x, \tau) d\tau$.

And let u be the fonction defined by

$$u = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{si } 0 \leq t \leq s \\ \int_s^t (t-\tau) u_{\tau\tau} d\tau & \text{si } s \leq t \leq T \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

(19) and (20) follows u is in $D_0(L)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x, t) = ((\mathfrak{I}_x)^*)^{-1} h &= -[b(\sigma, t) (x \mathfrak{I}_x^* u_{tt} - \mathfrak{I}_x^*(\rho u_{tt}))]_t \\ &= [b(\sigma, t) \mathfrak{I}_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}]_t. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

To continue the proof we need the following lemma

Lemma 2. The function ω defined by (21), belongs to the space $L^2(Q)$.

Proof of lemma 2. We start with the proof of this inequality

$$\|\mathfrak{I}_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \leq \frac{l^4}{12} \|u_{tt}\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2.$$

From this inequality and since the conditions C_1 are satisfied we conclude that $b_t(\sigma, t) \mathfrak{I}_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}$ belongs to $L^2(Q)$.

Because

$$\omega(x, t) = [b(\sigma, t) \mathfrak{I}_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}]_t = b_t(\sigma, t) \mathfrak{I}_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt} + b(\sigma, t) \mathfrak{I}_x^*(\rho - x) u_{ttt},$$

then we will prove that $b(\sigma, t) \mathfrak{I}_x^*(\rho - x) u_{ttt} \in L^2(Q)$.

For this we introduce the t -averaging opérators ρ_ε of the form

$$(\rho_\varepsilon f)(x, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \omega\left(\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon}\right) f(x, s) ds,$$

where $\omega \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$, $\omega \geq 0$, $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \omega(s) ds = 1$, $\omega \equiv 0$, for $t \leq 0$ and $t \geq T$, applying the operators ρ_ε and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ to the equation

$$-b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt} = h(x, t),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (-b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [-b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt} + \rho_\varepsilon (b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt})] - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_\varepsilon h. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \\ & \leq 2 \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt} - \rho_\varepsilon (b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt})] \right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + 2 \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_\varepsilon h \right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho_\varepsilon f \rightarrow f$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt})$ is bounded in $L^2(Q)$, then $\omega \in L^2(Q)$.

Now we return to the second proposition, we remplace ω in (18) by its representation given by (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u_{tt}, [b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}]_t)_{L^2(Q)} &= ((b(x, t) u_x)_x, [b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}]_t)_{L^2(Q)} \\ &+ \beta (u_{txx}, [b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}]_t)_{L^2(Q)}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Making use conditions (3)-(5), and from the particular forme of u given by (19) and (20), the equality (22) can be simplified. For this integrating by parts each term of the equality on the sub-domain $Q_s = (0, l) \times (s, T)$ where $0 \leq s \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_{tt}, [b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}]_t)_{L^2(Q)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b(\sigma, s)} \Im_x^* u_{tt}(., s) \right\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b_t(\sigma, .)} \Im_x^* u_{tt} \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((b(x, t) u_x)_x, [b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}]_t)_{L^2(Q)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b(., T) b(\sigma, T)} u_t(., T) \right\|_{L^2(0, l)}^2 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_s} [3b_t(x, t) b(\sigma, t) + b(x, t) b_t(\sigma, t)] (u_t)^2 dx dt \\ &- \int_0^l b_t(x, T) b(\sigma, T) u(x, T) u_t(x, T) dx \\ &+ \int_{Q_s} [b_{tt}(x, t) b(\sigma, t) + b_t(x, t) b_t(\sigma, t)] u u_t dx dt \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_{Q_s} [b_x(x, t) u_t + b_{xt}(x, t) u] b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^* u_{tt} dx dt \quad (23\text{bis})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(u_{txx}, [b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^*(\rho - x) u_{tt}]_t)_{L^2(Q)} = \\ &= \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b_t(\sigma, .)} u_{tt} \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b(\sigma, s)} u_{tt}(., s) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Substitution of (23)-(23 bis) and (24) into (22) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b(\sigma, s)} \Im_x^* u_{tt}(., s) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b(., T)} b(\sigma, T) u_t(., T) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b(\sigma, s)} u_{tt}(., s) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b_t(\sigma, s)} \Im_x^* u_{tt} \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| \sqrt{b_t(\sigma, .)} u_{tt} \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_s} [3b_t(x, t) b(\sigma, t) + b(x, t) b_t(\sigma, t)] (u_t)^2 dx dt \\ &- \int_0^l b_t(x, T) b(\sigma, T) u(x, T) u_t(x, T) dx \\ &+ \int_{Q_s} [b_{tt}(x, t) b(\sigma, t) + b_t(x, t) b_t(\sigma, t)] u u_t dx dt \\ &+ \int_{Q_s} [b_x(x, t) u_t + b_{xt}(x, t) u] b(\sigma, t) \Im_x^* u_{tt} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

By applying the Cauchy inequality and Cauchy inequality with ε to estimate the last three terms on the right-hand side of the inequality (25) and making use conditions $C_1 - C_2$, combining the estimates and (25) taking into account that $\varepsilon = \frac{b_0^2}{2b_1^2}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{b_0}{2} \left[\left\| \Im_x^* u_{tt}(., s) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \frac{b_0}{2} \left\| u_t(., T) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \beta \left\| u_{tt}(., s) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \right] \\ &\leq \left(b_1^2 + \frac{b_2}{2} \right) \left\| \Im_x^* u_{tt} \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \frac{\beta b_2}{2} \left\| u_{tt} \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \frac{b_2^2 + b_1^2 + 4b_1 b_2 + b_3^2}{2} \left\| u_t \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{b_2^2 + b_4^2 + b_5^2}{2} \left\| u \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \frac{b_1^2 b_2^2}{b_0^2} \left\| u(., T) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

By virtue of the elementary inequality

$$\frac{b_1^2 b_2^2}{b_0^2} \left\| u(., T) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \leq \frac{b_1^2 b_2^2}{b_0^2} \left\| u \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \frac{b_1^2 b_2^2}{b_0^2} \left\| u_t \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2, \quad (27)$$

where $u \in D_0(\mathcal{L})$ and satisfies the conditions (4) and (5), we estimate the last term of the right-hand side of the inequality (26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Im_x^* u_{tt}(., s) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \frac{b_0}{2} \left\| u_t(., T) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \beta \left\| u_{tt}(., s) \right\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2b_1^2 + b_2}{b_0} \right) \left\| \Im_x^* u_{tt} \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \frac{\beta b_2}{b_0} \left\| u_{tt} \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \frac{\frac{2b_1^2 b_2^2}{b_0^2} + 2b_1 b_2 + (b_2 + b_1)^2 + b_3^2}{b_0} \left\| u_t \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{b_0^2 (b_2^2 + b_4^2 + b_5^2) + 2b_1^2 b_2^2}{b_0^3} \left\| u \right\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

For estimate the last term of the right-hand side of the inequality (28), we will prove the inequality $\|u\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 \leq 24T^2 \|u_t\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2$, combining the last inequality and (28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Im_x^* u_{tt}(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \|u_{tt}(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \|u_t(., T)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \\ & \leq k \left[\|\Im_x^* u_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \|u_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \|u_t\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $k = \frac{\max(\beta b_2, (2b_1^2 + b_2)b_0 k(b_i, T))}{b_0 \min(1, \beta, \frac{b_0}{2})}$, and
 $k(b_i, T) = \frac{2b_1^2 b_2^2 + b_0^2 [2b_1 b_2 + (b_2 + b_1)^2 + b_3^2] + 24T^2 [b_0^2 (b_2^2 + b_4^2 + b_5^2) + 2b_1^2 b_2^2]}{b_0^3}$.

To continue, we introduce the new function $v(x, t) = \int_t^T u_{\tau\tau} d\tau$, then $u_t(x, t) = v(x, s) - v(x, t)$, and $u_t(x, T) = v(x, s)$.

The inequality (29) it be

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Im_x^* u_{tt}(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \|u_{tt}(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + (1 - 2k(T - s)) \|v(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \\ & \leq 2k(\|\Im_x^* u_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \|u_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

If $s_0 > 0$ satisfies $(1 - 2k(T - s_0)) = \frac{1}{2}$, then the inequality (30) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Im_x^* u_{tt}(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \|u_{tt}(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 + \|v(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 \\ & \leq 4k(\|\Im_x^* u_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \|u_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

for all $s \in [T - s_0, T]$. We denote

$$Y(s) = \|\Im_x^* u_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \|u_{tt}\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2. \quad (32)$$

We get

$$Y'(s) = -\|\Im_x^* u_{tt}(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 - \|u_{tt}(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2 - \|v(., s)\|_{L^2(0,l)}^2.$$

Then and from (31) we obtain

$$-Y'(s) \leq 4kY(s).$$

Then $-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} (Y(s) \exp(4ks)) \leq 0$.

Integrating this inequality on (s, T) and taking into account that $Y(T) = 0$, we obtain $Y(s) \exp(4ks) \leq 0$.

Then $Y(s) = 0$ for all $s \in [T - s_0, T]$. Then $\omega = 0$ almost everywhere in

Q_{T-s_0} , proceeding in this way step by step, we prove that $\omega = 0$ almost everywhere in Q .

This achieves the proof of proposition. Now we return to prove the théorème. We will prove that $\overline{R(\mathcal{L})} = H$.

Since H is a Hilbert space, the equality $\overline{R(\mathcal{L})} = H$ is true, if from

$$(\mathcal{L}u, W)_H = (Lu, \omega)_{L^2(Q)} + (l_1 u, \omega_1)_{L^2(0,l)} + (l_2 u, \omega_2)_{L^2(0,l)} = 0, \quad (33)$$

where $W = (\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2) \in R(\mathcal{L})^\perp$, we get $\omega \equiv 0, \omega_1 \equiv 0$ and $\omega_2 \equiv 0$ in Q , for any element of $D_0(\mathcal{L})$.

From (33) we obtain $\forall u \in D_0(\mathcal{L}), (Lu, \omega)_{L^2(Q)} = 0$. Then by virtue of the second proposition, we conclude that $\omega \equiv 0$.

Then from (33), we obtain $(l_1 u, \omega_1)_{L^2(0,l)} + (l_2 u, \omega_2)_{L^2(0,l)} = 0$.

Since the quantities $l_1 u$ and $l_2 u$ can vanish independently and the ranges of the trace operators l_1 and l_2 are dense in the Hilbert space $L^2(0,l)$, then $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 0$. Thus to conclude that $W = 0$.

6 Open Problems

We give in this section some open problems which that are treated as an extension of our present work, and has a great importance in physical applications and other domains.

We consider a nonlinear hyperbolic visco-elastic problem with a nonlocal boundary conditions.

$$u_{tt} - \beta \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^2 \partial x^2} + \int_0^t g(t-s) (b(x,t)u_x)_x ds = |u|^{p-2}u, \forall (x,t) \in Q, p > 2,$$

under the conditions (2)-(5) given in our problem. We propose that

1. Establish the local and global existence of solution for the given problem.
2. When the solution u of the given problem blow up in time.
3. Show the polynomial then the exponential decay of the solution.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The Authors thank an anonymous referee for his helpful comments which improved the paper and in particular about the relation (27).

References

- [1] A. Bouziani, Solution forte d'un problème mixte avec conditions non locales pour une classe d'équations hyperboliques, Bulletin de la Classe des Sciences, Académie Royale de Belgique, 1-6, (1997).
- [2] A. Bouziani, Mixed problem with boundary integral conditions for a certain parabolic equation, J. Appl. Math and Stochastic Analysis, Vol. 9, N°3, 323-330, (1996).
- [3] A. Bouziani, Problèmes mixtes avec conditions intégrales pour quelques équations aux dérivées partielles, Thèse de doctorat d'Etat, Université de constantine, Algeria (1996).
- [4] A. Bouziani – N. E. Benouar, Problème mixte avec conditions intégrales pour une classe d'équations paraboliques , Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences. Paris, Vol. 321, Série I, 1177-1182, (1995).
- [5] J. R. Cannon, The solution of the heat equation subject to the specification of energy, Quart. Appl. Math., Vol. 21, N°2, 155-160, (1963).
- [6] A. A. Dezin, Théorèmes d'existence et d'unicité de la solution pour les problèmes aux limites des équations aux dérivées partielles dans les espaces fonctionnels, Uspekhi. Math. Naouk, 14, N°3 (87), 22-73, (1959).
- [7] L. Garding, Cauchy's problem for hyperbolic equations, University ofchicago, Lecture notes, (1957).
- [8] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, Sur les problèmes aux limites fondamentaux liés aux équations paraboliques et hyperboliques, Dokl. Acad. Scien. URSS, Vol. 97, N°3, 395-398, (1954).
- [9] P. Lax, On Cauchy's problem for hyperbolic equation and the differentiability of solutions of elliptic equations. Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 8, 615-633, (1955).
- [10] J. Leray, Lectures on hyperbolic differential equations with variable coefficients. Princeton, Just for Adv. study, (1952).
- [11] S. Mesloub, Problème mixtes avec conditions non locales pour certaines classes d' équations aux dérivées partielles, Thèse de doctorat d'Etat, Université de constantine, (1999).
- [12] R. Sakamoto, Mixed problems for hyperbolic equations *II*, Jour. Math. Kyoto Univ., 10, 3, 403-417, (1970).