Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., Vol. 4, No. 2, June 2011 ISSN 1998-6262; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2011 www.i-csrs.org

# Some New Type of Lacunary Generalized Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by a Sequence of Moduli

Çiğdem A. Bektaş<sup>1</sup> and Gülcan Atıci<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Firat University, Elazig, 23119, TURKEY. e-mail:cigdemas78@hotmail.com Department of Mathematics, Muş Alparslan University, Muş, 49100, TURKEY. e-mail: gatici23@hotmail.com

#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce some new generalized difference sequence spaces combining lacunary sequence and a sequence of Moduli. We also examine some topological properties and establish some inclusion relations between these spaces.

**Keywords:** Difference sequence, sequence of Moduli, lacunary sequence.

## 1 Introduction

Let w be the set of all sequences of real or complex numbers and  $\ell_{\infty}$ , c and  $c_0$  be the linear spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences  $x = (x_k)$  with complex terms, respectively, normed by

$$\|x\|_{\infty} = \sup_{k} |x_k|$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$ , the set of positive integers.

The difference sequence spaces  $X(\Delta)$  was introduced by Kızmaz [9] as follows:

$$X(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in X\}$$

for  $X = \ell_{\infty}$ , c or  $c_0$ , where  $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k) = (x_k - x_{k+1})$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The notion of difference sequence spaces was generalized by Et and Çolak [5] as follows:

$$X\left(\Delta^{m}\right) = \left\{x = (x_{k}) : \Delta^{m}x \in X\right\}$$

for  $X = \ell_{\infty}$ , c or  $c_0$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\Delta^0 x_k) = (x_k)$ ,  $(\Delta^m x_k) = (\Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1})$ .

The sequence spaces  $X(\Delta^m)$  were further generalized by Et and Esi [6] to following sequence spaces. Let  $v = (v_k)$  be any fixed sequence of nonzero complex numbers. Then

$$X\left(\Delta_{v}^{m}\right) = \left\{x = (x_{k}) : (\Delta_{v}^{m} x_{k}) \in X\right\}$$

for  $X = \ell_{\infty}$ , c or  $c_0$ , where  $(\Delta_v^0 x_k) = (v_k x_k)$ ,  $(\Delta_v x_k) = (v_k x_k - v_{k+1} x_{k+1})$  and  $(\Delta_v^m x_k) = (\Delta_v^{m-1} x_k - \Delta_v^{m-1} x_{k+1})$  and so that

$$\Delta_v^m x_k = \sum_{i=0}^m \left(-1\right)^i \binom{m}{i} v_{k+i} x_{k+i}.$$

A function  $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is called a modulus function if (i) f(t) = 0 iff t = 0,

(ii) 
$$f(t+u) \le f(t) + f(u), \forall t, u \ge 0,$$

(iii) f is increasing,

(iv) f is continuous from the right at 0.

Since  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq f(|x - y|)$ , it follows from condition (iv) that f is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ . A modulus may be unbounded or bounded.

By a lacunary sequence  $\theta = (k_r); r = 0, 1, 2, ...$  where  $k_0 = 0$ , we will mean an increasing sequence of nonnegative integers with  $k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and we let  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$ . The ratio  $k_r/k_{r-1}$  will be denoted by  $\rho_r$ . The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequences  $N_{\theta}$  was defined by Freedman et al. [7] as

$$N_{\theta} = \{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{r} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - l| = 0, \text{ for some } l \}.$$

Bhardwaj and Bala [3] defined the sequence spaces

$$N_{\theta} [\Delta_{v}^{m}, f, p, Q] = \{x \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} [f(q(\Delta_{v}^{m}x_{k} - \ell))]^{p_{k}} = 0,$$
  
for some  $\ell \in X\},$   
$$N_{\theta} [\Delta_{v}^{m}, f, p, Q]_{0} = \{x \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} [f(q(\Delta_{v}^{m}x_{k}))]^{p_{k}} = 0\},$$
  
$$N_{\theta} [\Delta_{v}^{m}, f, p, Q]_{\infty} = \{x \in w(X) : \sup_{r} h_{r}^{-1} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} [f(q(\Delta_{v}^{m}x_{k}))]^{p_{k}} < \infty\}$$

for all  $q \in Q$ .

Also, the sequence spaces defined by lacunary sequence and modulus function were introduced and studied by Çolak [4], Khan and Lohani [8] and many others.

Let U be the set of all real sequences  $u = (u_k)$  such that  $u_k > 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We use the following inequality throughout this paper

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \le D\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\}$$
(1)

where  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are complex numbers,  $D = \max(1, 2^{G-1})$  and  $H = \sup_k p_k < \infty$  [10].

# 2 Main Results

**Definition 2.1** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of moduli,  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers, X be a seminormed space over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers with the seminorm q and  $u \in U$ . By w(X) we shall denote the space of all sequences defined over X. Now we define the following sequence spaces:

$$N_{\theta}^{\infty}(\Delta_{v}^{m}, F, p, q, u) = \{x \in w(X) : \sup_{r} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} u_{k} [f_{k}(q(\Delta_{v}^{m}x_{k}))]^{p_{k}} < \infty\},\$$
$$N_{\theta}(\Delta_{v}^{m}, F, p, q, u) = \{x \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} u_{k} [f_{k}(q(\Delta_{v}^{m}x_{k} - \ell))]^{p_{k}} = 0,\$$
for some  $\ell\},\$ 

$$N^{0}_{\theta}(\Delta^{m}_{v}, F, p, q, u) = \{ x \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} u_{k} \left[ f_{k} \left( q(\Delta^{m}_{v} x_{k}) \right) \right]^{p_{k}} = 0 \}.$$

For  $p_k = 1$  and  $u_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write these spaces as  $N_{\theta}^{\infty}(\Delta_v^m, F, q)$ ,  $N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, q)$  and  $N_{\theta}^0(\Delta_v^m, F, q)$ .

For  $f_k(x) = x$  for every  $k, p_k = 1$  and  $u_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write these spaces as  $N_{\theta}^{\infty}(\Delta_v^m, q), N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, q)$  and  $N_{\theta}^0(\Delta_v^m, q)$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of moduli. Then  $N^0_{\theta}(\Delta^m_v, F, p, q, u) \subset N_{\theta}(\Delta^m_v, F, p, q, u) \subset N^{\infty}_{\theta}(\Delta^m_v, F, p, q, u)$  and the inclusions are strict.

**Proof.** The first inclusion is obvious. We establish the second inclusion. Let  $x \in N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$ . By definition of modulus function and inequality (1), we have

$$u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_k \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \le D u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_k - \ell \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + D u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \ell \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}.$$

Now we may choose an integer  $K_{\ell}$  such that  $q(\ell) \leq K_{\ell}$ . Hence, we have

$$u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_k \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \le D u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_k - \ell \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + \max \left[ 1, \left( (K_\ell) f_k(1) \right)^H \right]$$

Therefore  $x \in N^{\infty}_{\theta}(\Delta^m_v, F, p, q, u)$ .

To show the inclusions are strict consider the following example.

Let  $f_k(x) = x$ ,  $p_k = 1$ ,  $v_k = 1$ ,  $u_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , q(x) = |x| and  $\theta = (2^r)$ . Then, the sequence  $x = (k^m)$  belongs to  $N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$  but does not belong to  $N_{\theta}^0(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$  and the sequence  $x = ((-1)^k)$  belongs to  $N_{\theta}^\infty(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$  but does not belong to  $N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$ . Therefore the inclusions are strict.

**Theorem 2.3** The sets  $N^0_{\theta}(\Delta^m_v, F, p, q, u)$ ,  $N_{\theta}(\Delta^m_v, F, p, q, u)$  and  $N^{\infty}_{\theta}(\Delta^m_v, F, p, q, u)$  are linear spaces over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in N^0_{\theta}(\Delta^m_v, F, p, q, u)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then exists positive integers  $N_{\alpha}$  and  $M_{\beta}$  such that  $|\alpha| \leq N_{\alpha}$  and  $|\beta| \leq M_{\beta}$ . From the definition of modulus function and  $\Delta^m_v$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m \left( \alpha x_k + \beta y_k \right) \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \alpha \Delta_v^m x_k + \beta \Delta_v^m y_k \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq D \left( N_\alpha \right)^H \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_k \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + D \left( M_\beta \right)^H \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m y_k \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus  $N^0_{\theta}(\Delta^m_v, F, p, q, u)$  is a linear space. The others can be treated similarly.

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of moduli and let  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then for each  $x > \delta$  we have  $f_k(x) \le 2f_k(1) \delta^{-1}x$  [11].

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of moduli. Then

$$N_{\theta}\left(\Delta_{v}^{m},q\right) \subset N_{\theta}\left(\Delta_{v}^{m},F,q\right)$$

**Proof.** Let  $x \in N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, q)$ . Then we have

$$\varphi_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} q \left( \Delta_v^m x_k - \ell \right) \to 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty, \text{ for some } \ell.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $f_k(t) < \varepsilon$  for every t with  $0 \le t \le \delta$ . Then we can write

$$\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}f_k\left(q\left(\Delta_v^m x_k-\ell\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, q(\Delta_v^m x_k - \ell) \le \delta} f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_k - \ell \right) \right) + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r, q(\Delta_v^m x_k - \ell) > \delta} f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_k - \ell \right) \right)$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \left( h_r \varepsilon \right) + \frac{1}{h_r} 2 f_k \left( 1 \right) \delta^{-1} h_r \varphi_r.$$

Therefore  $x \in N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, q)$ .

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of moduli, if  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f_k(t)}{t} = \gamma > 0$ , then

$$N_{\theta}\left(\Delta_{v}^{m},q\right) = N_{\theta}\left(\Delta_{v}^{m},F,q\right)$$

**Proof.** We need to show that  $N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, q) \subset N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, q)$ . Let  $\gamma > 0$  and  $x \in N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, q)$ . Since  $\gamma > 0$ , we have  $f_k(t) \ge \gamma t$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r} f_k\left(q\left(\Delta_v^m x_k - \ell\right)\right) \ge \frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r} \gamma\left(q\left(\Delta_v^m x_k - \ell\right)\right) = \frac{1}{h_r}\gamma\sum_{k\in I_r} \left(q\left(\Delta_v^m x_k - \ell\right)\right).$$

Therefore we have  $x \in N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, q)$ . Hence  $N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, q) \subset N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, q)$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 2.5 we have  $N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, q) \subset N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, q)$ . Thus  $N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, q) = N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, q)$ .

**Theorem 2.7** Let  $m \ge 1$  be a fixed integer, then (i)  $N_{\theta}^{0}(\Delta_{v}^{m-1}, F, p, q, u) \subset N_{\theta}^{0}(\Delta_{v}^{m}, F, p, q, u),$ (ii)  $N_{\theta}(\Delta_{v}^{m-1}, F, p, q, u) \subset N_{\theta}(\Delta_{v}^{m}, F, p, q, u),$ (iii)  $N_{\theta}^{\infty}(\Delta_{v}^{m-1}, F, p, q, u) \subset N_{\theta}^{\infty}(\Delta_{v}^{m}, F, p, q, u)$ and the inclusions are strict. **Proof.** The proof of the inclusions follows from the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_k \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq D \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^{m-1} x_k \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + D \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} u_k \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \Delta_v^{m-1} x_{k+1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}.$$

To show that the inclusions are strict, let  $f_k(x) = x$ ,  $p_k = 1$ ,  $v_k = 1$ ,  $u_k = 1$ for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , q(x) = |x|,  $\theta = (2^r)$  and  $x = (k^m)$ . Then  $x \in N_{\theta}^{\infty}(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$ , but  $x \notin N_{\theta}^{\infty}(\Delta_v^{m-1}, F, p, q, u)$ . If  $x = (k^m)$ , then  $\Delta^m x = (-1)^m m!$  and  $\Delta^{m-1}x = (-1)^{m+1}m! \left(k + \frac{m-1}{2}\right)$ .

**Theorem 2.8** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $1 < \liminf_r \rho_r < \limsup_r \rho_r < \infty$ , then  $N_{\sigma}(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u) = N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$ , where

$$N_{\sigma}\left(\Delta_{v}^{m}, F, p, q, u\right) = \left\{ x \in w\left(X\right) : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{k} \left[ f_{k} \left( q\left(\Delta_{v}^{m} x_{k} - \ell\right) \right) \right]^{p_{k}} = 0 \right\}$$

for some l.

**Proof.** Let  $\liminf_r \rho_r > 1$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\rho_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} \ge 1 + \delta$  for all  $r \ge 1$ . Furthermore we have  $\frac{k_r}{h_r} \le \frac{(1+\delta)}{\delta}$  and  $\frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \le \frac{1}{\delta}$ , for all  $r \ge 1$ . Then we may write

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} - \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} \\
= \frac{k_r}{h_r} \left( k_r^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} \right) \\
- \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \left( k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} \right).$$

Now suppose that  $\limsup_r \rho_r < \infty$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $j_0$  such that for every  $i \ge j_0$ 

$$A_j = \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} < \varepsilon.$$

We can also choose a number K > 0 such that  $A_j < K$  for all j. If  $\limsup_r \rho_r < \infty$ , then there exists a number  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\rho_r < \beta$  for all r. Now let n be any integer with  $k_{r-1} < n \leq k_r$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} \\
\leq k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} \\
= k_{r-1}^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{i \in I_1} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} + \ldots + \sum_{i \in I_r} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} \right\} \\
= k_{r-1}^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{i \in I_j} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} + \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{r} \sum_{i \in I_j} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} \right\} \\
\leq k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{i \in I_j} u_i \left[ f_i \left( q \left( \Delta_v^m x_i \right) \right) \right]^{p_i} + \varepsilon (k_r - k_{j_0}) k_{r-1}^{-1} \\
= k_{r-1}^{-1} \left\{ h_1 A_1 + h_2 A_2 + \ldots + h_{j_0} A_{j_0} \right\} + \varepsilon (k_r - k_{j_0}) k_{r-1}^{-1} \\
\leq k_{r-1}^{-1} \left( \sum_{1 \le i \le j_0} A_j \right) k_{j_0} + \varepsilon (k_r - k_{j_0}) k_{r-1}^{-1} \\
\leq K k_{r-1}^{-1} k_{j_0} + \varepsilon \beta.$$

Thus  $x \in N_{\sigma}(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$ .

## **3** Open Problem

The aim of this paper is to introduce and study the new sequence spaces  $N_{\theta}^{\infty}(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u), N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$  and  $N_{\theta}^0(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$ , which arise from the notions of generalized difference sequence space, lacunary sequence, a sequence of Moduli. We propose to study various some topological properties and establish some inclusion relations between these spaces.

But we didn't prove inclusion relation  $N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, p, q, u) \subset N_{\theta}(\Delta_v^m, F, p, q, u)$ . Therefore it is left as an open problem.

# References

- Y. Altın and M. Et, "Generalized difference sequence spaces by a modulus functions in a locally convex spaces", *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, Vol.31, No.2, (2005), pp.233-243.
- [2] Ç.A. Bektaş and R. Çolak, "Generalized strongly almost summable difference sequences of order *m* defined by a sequence of moduli", *Demonstratio Mathematica*, Vol.40, No.3, (2007), pp.581-591.

- [3] V.K. Bhardwaj and I. Bala, "On Some Lacunary Generalized Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by a Modulus Function in a Locally Convex Space", *Southeast Asian Bulletin Math.*, Vol.33, (2009), pp.665-683.
- [4] R. Çolak, "Lacunary Strong Convergence of Difference Sequences with Respect to a Modulus Function", *Filomat*, Vol.17, (2003), pp.9-14.
- [5] M. Et and R. Çolak, "On some generalized difference sequence spaces", Soochow J. Math., Vol.21, (1995), pp.377-386.
- [6] M. Et and A. Esi, "On Köthe-Toeplitz duals of generalized difference sequence spaces", Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc, Vol.23, No.2, (2000), pp.25-32.
- [7] A.R. Freedman, J.J. Sember and M. Raphael, "Some Ces'aro-type summability spaces", *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, Vol.37, No.3, (1978), pp.508–520.
- [8] V. A. Khan and Q. M. D. Lohani, "New Lacunary Strong Convergence Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by Sequence of Moduli", *Kyungpook Math. J.*, Vol.46, (2006), pp.591–595.
- [9] H. Kızmaz, "On certain sequence spaces", Canad. Math. Bull., Vol.24, (1981), pp.169-176.
- [10] I.J. Maddox, " Elements of Functional Analysis", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London and New York, 1970.
- [11] S. Pehilvan and B. Fisher, "Lacunary strong convergence with respect to a sequence of modulus functions", *Comment Math. Univ. Carolin*, Vol.36, (1995), pp.69-76.