

Application of Generalized Fractional Integral Operators to Certain Class of Multivalent Prestarlike Functions With Negative Coefficients

Jamal M. Shenan

Department of mathematics, Alazhar University-Gaza

P.O.Box 1277, Gaza ,Palestine.

e-mail: shenanjm@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper we introduce certain class of analytic and multivalent prestarlike functions with negative coefficients defined by a certain linear operator. Coefficient inequalities and distortion theorems in terms of the fractional integral operators involving H -function are obtained. Some convolution properties and radius of convexity for this class are also included.

Keywords: Multivalent functions, Prestarlike functions, Fractional calculus.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 26A33.

1 Introduction

Let A_p denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the unit disk $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. And let T_p denote the subclass of A_p consisting of analytic and p -valent functions which can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \quad (a_{k+p} \geq 0). \quad (1.2)$$

A function $f(z) \in A_p$ is said to be p -valent starlike of order α , if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.3)$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$). We denote the class of all p -valent starlike functions of order α by $S_p(\alpha)$. Further a function $f(z)$ from A_p is said to be convex of order α if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.4)$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$). We denote the class of all p -valent convex functions of order α by $C_p(\alpha)$. The classes $S_p(\alpha)$ and $C_p(\alpha)$ were first introduced by Patil and Thakare [11].

The function

$$S_\gamma(z) = z^p (1-z)^{-2(p-\gamma)} \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p; p \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (1.5)$$

is the well-known extreme function for the class $S_p(\gamma)$. Setting

$$C(\gamma, k) = \frac{\prod_{i=2}^{k+1} (2(p-\gamma) + i - 2)}{k!} \quad (k \geq 1; 0 \leq \gamma < p), \quad (1.6)$$

then $S_\gamma(z)$ can be written in the form

$$S_\gamma(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C(\gamma, k) z^{k+p}. \quad (1.7)$$

We note that $C(\gamma, k)$ is a decreasing function in γ and that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C(\gamma, k) = \begin{cases} \infty & \left(\gamma < \frac{2p-1}{2} \right) \\ 1 & \left(\gamma = \frac{2p-1}{2} \right) \\ 0 & \left(\gamma > \frac{2p-1}{2} \right). \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

Let $(f * g)(z)$ denote the Hadamard product (convolution) of two analytic functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, that is, if $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) and $g(z)$ is given by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \quad (1.9)$$

then

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}. \quad (1.10)$$

A function $f(z) \in A_p$ is said to be p -valent γ -prestarlike function of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \gamma < p$) if

$$(f * S_{\gamma})(z) \in S_p^*(\alpha), \quad (1.11)$$

where $S_{\gamma}(z)$ is defined by (1.7). We denote by $R_p(\gamma, \alpha)$ the class of all p -valent γ -prestarlike function of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \gamma < p$).

The class $R_p(\gamma, \alpha)$ was studied by Aouf and Siverman [1], Shenan, Salim and Marouf [18], while the class $R_p(\alpha, \alpha) = R_p(\alpha)$ is the class of p -valent prestarlike functions of order α studied by Kumar and Reddy [9] and others. For $\gamma = \frac{2p-1}{2}; 0 \leq \alpha < p$, $R_p\left(\frac{2p-1}{2}, \alpha\right) = S_p(\alpha)$. Let $R_p^*(\gamma, \alpha) = R_p(\gamma, \alpha) \cap T_p$, $S_p^*(\alpha) = S_p(\alpha) \cap T_p$ and $C_p^*(\alpha) = C_p(\alpha) \cap T_p$.

The function $\phi_p(a, c; z)$ is defined by

$$\phi_p(a, c; z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} z^{p+k}, \quad (1.12)$$

$$(z \in U; a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus Z_0^-)$$

where $(a)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_k = \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1; & (k=0), \\ a(a+1)(a+2)\dots(a+k-1); & (k \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

Corresponding to the function $\phi_p(a, c; z)$, Saitoh [14] introduced and studied a linear operator $L_p(a, c)$ on A_p by the following Hadamard product (or convolution):

$$L_p(a, c)f(z) = \phi_p(a, c; z) * f(z) \quad (f(z) \in A_p). \quad (1.14)$$

For $p=1$ $L_1(a, c)$ on A was first defined by Carlson and Shaffer [3].

We can easily find from (1.12) and (1.14) and for the function $f(z) \in T_p$ that

$$L_p(a, c)f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}. \quad (1.15)$$

It is known [14] that

$$z \left(L_p(a, c) f(z) \right)' = a L_p(a+1, c) f(z) - (a-p) L_p(a, c) f(z). \quad (1.16)$$

Note that $L_p(p, p) f(z) = f(z)$, $L_p(p+1, p) f(z) = \frac{z f'(z)}{p}$,

$$L_p(p+2, p) f(z) = \frac{1}{p(p+1)} \left[2z f'(z) + z^2 f''(z) \right], \quad \text{and}$$

$$L_p(n+p, 1) f(z) = D^{n+p-1} f(z),$$

where D^{n+p-1} is the well-known Ruschewehy derivative of order $(n+p-1)$ introduced by Goel and Sohi [5].

The function $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $g(z)$ in U written $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if there exist a function $w(z)$ analytic U such that $w(0) = 0$, and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$.

Now making use of the operator $L_p(a, c)$ defined by (1.14) we introduce the following subclass $S_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$ of p -valent γ -prestarlike function of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$; $0 \leq \gamma < p$).

Definition 1. For A, B arbitrary fixed real numbers, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, a function $f(z) \in A_p$ defined by (1.1) is said to be in the class $S_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$ if it satisfies

$$\frac{a L_p(a+1, c) (f * S_\gamma)(z)}{L_p(a, c) (f * S_\gamma)(z)} \prec \frac{a + [aB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]z}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.17)$$

$$(0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \gamma < p; a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus Z_0^-)$$

also let $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha) = S_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha) \cap T_p$.

Using (1.16) it is easily seen that (1.17) is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z \left(L_p(a, c) (f * S_\gamma) \right)'(z)}{L_p(a, c) (f * S_\gamma)(z)} - p}{pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha) - B \frac{z \left(L_p(a, c) (f * S_\gamma) \right)'(z)}{L_p(a, c) (f * S_\gamma)(z)}} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.18)$$

Note that :

$$(i) T_p(p, p, 1, -1, \gamma, \alpha) = R_p^*(\gamma, \alpha);$$

$$(ii) T_p(p, p, 1, -1, \frac{2p-1}{2}, \alpha) = S_p^*(\alpha);$$

$$(iii) T_p(p+1, p, 1, -1, \frac{2p-1}{2}, \alpha) = C_p^*(\alpha),$$

The class $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$ generalizes and extends other classes studied and introduced by several research workers as Aouf and Siverman [1], Shenan, Salim and Marouf [18], Kumar and Reddy [9], Sheil-Small et al. [17], Owa and Uralegaddi [10].

2 Fractional Integral Operators

We shall be concerned with fractional integral operators involving Fox's H-function which have been recently introduced by [6] and [7].

Definition 2. Let $s \in N_0$ (set of non-negative integers), $\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (set of positive real numbers) and $\delta_j, \gamma_j \in \mathbb{C}$ (set of complex numbers) for $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, while $\sum_{j=1}^s \operatorname{Re}(\delta_j) > 0$, then the generalized fractional integral operator for the function $f(z)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{(\beta_s);s}^{(\gamma_s);(\delta_s)} f(z) &= I_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s);s}^{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s);(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s)} f(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z H_{s,s}^{s,0} \left[\frac{t}{z} \left| \begin{matrix} (1+\gamma_j+\delta_j-1/\beta_j, 1/\beta_j)_{1,s} \\ (1+\gamma_j-1/\beta_j, 1/\beta_j)_{1,s} \end{matrix} \right. \right] f(t) dt, \text{ for } \sum_{j=1}^s \operatorname{Re}(\delta_j) > 0 \\ &= f(z), \text{ for } \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \dots = \delta_s = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $f(z)$ is analytic function in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin and

$$H_{s,s}^{s,0}[z] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(b_j - t/\beta_j)}{\Gamma(a_j - t/\beta_j)} z^t dt, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$a_j = \gamma_j + \delta_j + 1 - 1/\beta_j \text{ and } b_j = \gamma_j + 1 - 1/\beta_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, s).$$

The fractional integral operator $I_{(\beta_s);s}^{(\gamma_s);(\delta_s)} f(z)$ contains as special case, many other fractional integral operators [cf. Saigo, Raina and Kilbas(13)], here we need some of them.

(I) For $t > 0$ and $f(z)$ being analytic function in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin,

$$\begin{aligned} z^{-\beta} I_{1,1}^{0,\beta} f(z) &= z^{-\beta-1} \int_0^z H_{1,1}^{1,0} \left[\frac{t}{z} \middle| \begin{matrix} (\beta,1) \\ (0,1) \end{matrix} \right] f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\beta}} dt \\ &= D_z^{-\beta} f(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$ and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\beta-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$ to be real when $(z-t) > 0$, $D_z^{-\beta} f(z)$ is called the Riemann-liouville fractional integral operator of order β [16].

(II) With $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $\beta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f(z)$ being analytic function in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin,

$$\begin{aligned} z^{-\beta} I_{(1,1);2}^{(0,\eta-\beta);(-\beta,\delta+\beta)} f(z) &= z^{-\beta-1} \int_0^z H_{2,2}^{2,0} \left[\frac{t}{z} \middle| \begin{matrix} (-\beta,1);(\delta+\eta,1) \\ (0,1);(\eta-\beta,1) \end{matrix} \right] f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{z^{-\delta-\beta}}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^z (z-t)^{\delta-1} {}_2F_1 \left(\delta+\beta, -\eta; \delta; 1-\frac{t}{z} \right) f(t) dt \\ &= I_{0,z}^{\delta,\beta,\eta} f(z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $I_{0,z}^{\delta,\beta,\eta} f(z)$ is the well known Saigo fractional integral operator of order δ , [12] and with the order

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= O(|z|^\varepsilon), \quad z \rightarrow 0 \\ \varepsilon &> \max(0, \beta - \eta) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\delta-1}$ is remove as in (I).

(III) the following form is due to Saigo, Raina and Kilbas [13], for $\operatorname{Re}(\eta) > 0$, $\nu, \mu, \xi, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of z -plane containing the origin

$$\begin{aligned}
& z^{\mu+\sigma(1+\eta)+\nu+1} I_{(\sigma,\sigma);2}^{\left(\frac{\nu+1}{\sigma}-1, \eta-\xi-\delta+\frac{\nu-1}{\sigma}-1\right);(\eta-\xi,\xi)} f(z) \\
&= z^{\mu+\sigma(1+\eta)+\nu} \int_0^z H_{2,2}^{2,0} \left[\frac{t}{z} \left| \begin{matrix} \left(\eta-\xi+\frac{\nu}{\sigma}, \frac{1}{\sigma}\right), \left(\eta-\delta+\frac{\nu}{\sigma}, \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \\ \left(\frac{\nu}{\sigma}, \frac{1}{\sigma}\right), \left(\eta-\xi-\delta+\frac{\nu}{\sigma}, \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \end{matrix} \right. \right] f(t) dt \\
&= \frac{\sigma z^\mu}{\Gamma(\eta)} \int_0^z (z^\sigma - t^\sigma)^{\eta-1} {}_2F_1\left(\xi, \delta, \eta; 1 - (t/z)^\sigma\right) t^\nu f(t) dt \\
&= I_{+, \nu}^{\eta, \sigma, \mu, \xi, \delta} f(z), \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

with the order

$$\begin{aligned}
f(z) &= O(|z|^\varepsilon), \quad z \rightarrow 0 \\
\sigma + \varepsilon &> \max(0, \delta + \xi - \eta) - 1
\end{aligned}$$

and the multiplicity of $(z^\sigma - t^\sigma)^{1-\eta}$ is remove by requiring $\log(z^\sigma - t^\sigma)$ to be real as $(z^\sigma - t^\sigma) > 0$.

For the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1), the operator $J_{(\beta_s^{-1});s}^{(\gamma_s);(\delta_s)}$ is defined by

$$J_{(\beta_s^{-1});s}^{(\gamma_s);(\delta_s)} f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^s \left[\frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma_j + \delta_j + p\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1+\gamma_j + p\beta_j)} \right] I_{(\beta_s^{-1});s}^{(\gamma_s);(\delta_s)} f(z) \tag{2.6}$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma_j) > p\beta_j - 1, \beta_j \in R_+$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\delta_j) \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, s$.

Note that for $s = \beta_1 = 1, \gamma_1 = 0, \delta_1 = \gamma$ in (2.6) we obtain the operator

$$J^{-\gamma} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1+p+\gamma)}{\Gamma(1+p)} z^{-\gamma} D_z^{-\gamma} f(z) \tag{2.7}$$

Similarly for $s = 2, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1, \gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = \eta - \phi, \delta_1 = -\phi, \delta_2 = \beta + \phi$, we have the following operator

$$J_{0,z}^{\beta,\phi,\eta} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1-\phi+p)\Gamma(1+\beta+\eta)}{\Gamma(1+p)\Gamma(1-\phi+\eta+p)} z^\phi I_{0,z}^{\beta,\phi,\eta} f(z) \tag{2.8}$$

$$\min(p - \phi + \eta, p - \phi, p + \beta + \eta) > -1$$

The operators $J^{-\gamma} f(z)$ and $J_{0,z}^{\beta,\phi,\eta} f(z)$ have been studied by Choi and Saigo [4].

Lemma 1. (due to Kiryakova[7]) If $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma_j) > \frac{-p}{\beta_j} - 1, \beta_j \in R_+$, and

$\operatorname{Re}(\delta_j) \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, s$, then

$$I_{(\beta_s);s}^{(\gamma_s);(\delta_s)} [z^p] = \prod_{j=1}^s \left[\frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma_j + p/\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1+\gamma_j + \delta_j + p/\beta_j)} \right] z^p. \quad (2.9)$$

3 Coefficient estimates

Theorem 1. For A, B arbitrary fixed real numbers, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, a function $f(z) \in T_p$ defined by (1.2) belongs to the class $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{p+k} \leq (A-B)(p-\alpha), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \gamma < p; a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- \text{ and } C(\gamma, k) \text{ is defined by (1.6).}$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Let the function $f(z) \in T_p$ defined by (1.2), then from (1.7) and (1.15) we have

$$L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma)(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad (3.2)$$

Assume that the inequality (3.1) holds true and let $|z|=1$, then from (1.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z (L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma))'(z)}{L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma)(z)} - p \right| - \left| pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha) - B \frac{z (L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma))'(z)}{L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma)(z)} \right| \\ &= \left| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \right| \\ &= \left| (A-B)(p-\alpha) z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Bk - (A-B)(p-\alpha)) \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{p+k} - (A-B)(p-\alpha) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the principle of maximum modulus, $f(z) \in T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$.

Conversely, Assume that $f(z)$ defined by (3.1) is in the class $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$, then from (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\frac{z (L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma))'(z)}{L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma)(z)} - p}{pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha) - B \frac{z (L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma))'(z)}{L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma)(z)}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{k+p} z^{k+p}}{(A - B)(p - \alpha) z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Bk - (A - B)(p - \alpha)) \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{p+k} z^{k+p}} \right| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq |z|$ for all z , we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{k+p} z^{k+p}}{(A - B)(p - \alpha) z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Bk - (A - B)(p - \alpha)) \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{p+k} z^{k+p}} \right] < 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Choose the values of z on the real axis so that $\frac{z (L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma))'(z)}{L_p(a, c)(f * S_\gamma)(z)}$ is real.

Upon clearing the denominator of (3.3) and letting $z \rightarrow 1$ through real values we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{k+p} \\ & \leq (A - B)(p - \alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [Bk - (A - B)(p - \alpha)] \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{p+k}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the inequality (3.1). Sharpness of the result follows by setting

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{(A - B)(p - \alpha)}{|(1 - B)k + (A - B)(p - \alpha)| \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k) a_{p+k}} z^{p+k} \quad (k \geq 1). \quad (3.4)$$

4 Distortion Theorem for the class $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2. Let $\beta_j \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma_j, \delta_j \in \mathbb{R}_+ (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, s)$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma_j) > -\operatorname{Re}(p\beta_j) - 1$, and

$$\prod_{j=1}^s \left[\frac{(1 + \gamma_j + (p+1)\beta_j)_{\beta_j}}{(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + (p+1)\beta_j)_{\beta_j}} \right] \leq 1, \quad (4.1)$$

if the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) is in the class $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$, then

$$\left| I_{(\beta_s^{-1})_s}^{(\gamma_s); (\delta_s)} f(z) \right| \geq \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + p\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + p\beta_j)} |z|^p \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{c(A-B)(p-\alpha)}{2a(p-\gamma)[1-B+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{(1 + \gamma_j + p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}}{(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}} |z| \right\} \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\left| I_{(\beta_s^{-1})_s}^{(\gamma_s); (\delta_s)} f(z) \right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + p\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + p\beta_j)} |z|^p \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{c(A-B)(p-\alpha)}{2a(p-\gamma)[1-B+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{(1 + \gamma_j + p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}}{(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}} |z| \right\}. \quad (4.3)$$

$(0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \gamma < p; a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$.

For $z \in U$ and the equality in (4.2) and (4.3) are attained by the function

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{c(A-B)(p-\alpha)}{2a(p-\gamma)[1-B+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]} z^{p+1}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Making use of (1.2) and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{(\beta_s^{-1})_s}^{(\gamma_s); (\delta_s)} f(z) &= \prod_{j=1}^s \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + p\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + p\beta_j)} \right] z^p \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} \prod_{j=1}^s \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + (p+k)\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + (p+k)\beta_j)} \right] z^{p+k}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

From (2.6) we have

$$J_{(\beta_s^{-1})_s}^{(\gamma_s); (\delta_s)} f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^s \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + p\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + p\beta_j)} \right] I_{(\beta_s^{-1})_s}^{(\gamma_s); (\delta_s)} f(z)$$

$$= z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi(k) a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$\psi(k) = \prod_{j=1}^s \left[\frac{(1 + \gamma_j + p\beta_j)_{\beta_j k}}{(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + \beta_j p)_{\beta_j k}} \right] \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (4.7)$$

Under the assumption of the Theorem we see that $\psi(k)$ is non-increasing on k , i.e.

$$0 < \psi(k) \leq \psi(1) = \prod_{j=1}^s \left[\frac{(1 + \gamma_j + p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}}{(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + \beta_j p)_{\beta_j}} \right]. \quad (4.8)$$

Now employing (4.8) and Theorem 1 in (4.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| J_{(\beta_s^{-1})^s; s}^{(\gamma_s)(\delta_s)} f(z) \right| &\geq |z|^p - \psi(1) |z|^{p+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} \\ &\geq |z|^p - \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}}{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}} \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)}{[1-B+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]^{\frac{a}{c}} C(\gamma, 1)} |z|^{p+1}, \end{aligned}$$

Which implies the assertion (4.2) of Theorem 2.

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| J_{(\beta_s^{-1})^s; s}^{(\gamma_s)(\delta_s)} f(z) \right| &\leq |z|^p + \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}}{\Gamma(1 + \gamma_j + \delta_j + p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}} \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)}{[1-B+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]^{\frac{a}{c}} C(\gamma, 1)} |z|^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies the assertion (4.3) of Theorem 2.

Corollary 1. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in the class

$T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D_z^{-\beta} f(z) \right| &\geq \frac{\Gamma(1+p)}{\Gamma(1+\gamma+p)} |z|^{p+\beta} \\ &\quad \left\{ 1 - \frac{c(A-B)(p-\alpha)(p+1)}{2a(p-\gamma)[1-B+(A-B)(p-\alpha)](p+\beta+1)} |z| \right\}, \quad (4.9) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|D_z^{-\beta} f(z)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(1+p)}{\Gamma(1+\gamma+p)} |z|^{p+\beta} \left\{ 1 + \frac{c(A-B)(p-\alpha)(p+1)}{2a(p-\gamma)[1-B+(A-B)(p-\alpha)](p+\beta+1)} |z| \right\} \quad (4.10)$$

$(\beta > 0, 0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \gamma < p; a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$

For $z \in U$ and the equality in (4.9) and (4.10) are attained by the function given by (4.4).

Proof. Setting $s = 1, \gamma_1 = 0, \delta_1 = \beta$ and $\beta_1 = 1$ in Theorem 3 and using (2.3) we obtain the result.

Corollary 2 . Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in the class

$T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$, then under the assumption ,

$$\frac{\beta(\eta+\delta)}{\delta} - p \leq 2$$

$$|I_{0,z}^{\delta,\beta,\eta} f(z)| \geq \frac{\Gamma(1+p)\Gamma(p-\beta+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(1-\beta+p)\Gamma(p+\delta+\eta+1)} |z|^{p-\beta} \left\{ 1 - \frac{c(A-B)(p-\alpha)(p+1)(p-\beta+\eta+1)}{2a(p-\gamma)[1-B+(A-B)(p-\alpha)](p-\beta+1)p+\delta+\eta+1} |z| \right\}, \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$|I_{0,z}^{\delta,\beta,\eta} f(z)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(1+p)\Gamma(p-\beta+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(1-\beta+p)\Gamma(p+\delta+\eta+1)} |z|^{p-\beta} \left\{ 1 + \frac{c(A-B)(p-\alpha)(p+1)(p-\beta+\eta+1)}{2a(p-\gamma)[1-B+(A-B)(p-\alpha)](p-\beta+1)p+\delta+\eta+1} |z| \right\}. \quad (4.12)$$

$(0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \gamma < p; a, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$

For $z \in U_0$, where

$$U_0 = \begin{cases} U & \beta \leq p \\ U - \{0\} & \beta > p \end{cases}$$

The equality in (4.11) and (4.12) are attained by the function given by (4.4).

Proof. Setting $s = 2, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1, \gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = \eta - \beta, \delta_1 = -\beta, \delta_2 = \delta + \beta$ in Theorem 2 and using (2.4) we obtain the result.

Corollary 3 . Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in the class

$T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{+, \nu}^{\eta, 1/\sigma, \mu, \xi, \delta} f(z)| &\geq \frac{\Gamma[\sigma(\nu + p + 1)] \Gamma[\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \xi - \delta]}{\Gamma[\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \xi] \Gamma[\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \delta]} \\ &\times |z|^{p - \left\{ \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\sigma} \right) - p - \mu - \nu - 1 \right\}} \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{c(A - B)(p - \alpha) [\sigma(\nu + p + 1)]_{\sigma}}{2a(p - \gamma) [1 - B + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{[\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \xi - \delta]_{\sigma}}{[\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \xi]_{\sigma} [\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \delta]_{\sigma}} |z| \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{+, \nu}^{\eta, 1/\sigma, \mu, \xi, \delta} f(z)| &\leq \frac{\Gamma[\sigma(\nu + p + 1)] \Gamma[\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \xi - \delta]}{\Gamma[\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \xi] \Gamma[\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \delta]} \\ &\times |z|^{p - \left\{ \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\sigma} \right) - p - \mu - \nu - 1 \right\}} \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{c(A - B)(p - \alpha) [\sigma(\nu + p + 1)]_{\sigma}}{2a(p - \gamma) [1 - B + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{[\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \xi - \delta]_{\sigma}}{[\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \xi]_{\sigma} [\sigma(\nu + p + 1) + \eta - \delta]_{\sigma}} |z| \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where σ is a positive integer and $z \in U_0$, where

$$U_0 = \begin{cases} U & \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\sigma} \right) - p - \mu - \nu - 1 \leq p \\ U - \{0\} & \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\sigma} \right) - p - \mu - \nu - 1 > p \end{cases}$$

The equality in (4.13) and (4.14) are attained by the function given by (4.4).

Proof. Setting

$s = 2, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \sigma, \gamma_1 = \sigma(\nu + 1) - 1, \gamma_2 = \eta - \gamma - \delta + (\sigma(\nu + 1) - 1), \delta_1 = \eta - \gamma, \delta_2 = \gamma$ in Theorem 2 and using (2.5) we obtain the result.

Corollary 4 . Under the assumption of Theorem 2, let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in the class $R_p^*(\gamma, \alpha)$, then

$$\left| I_{(\beta_s^{-1})^s}^{(\gamma_s);(\delta_s)} f(z) \right| \geq \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma_j+p\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1+\gamma_j+\delta_j+p\beta_j)} |z|^p \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{(p-\alpha)}{2(p-\gamma)(1+p-\alpha)} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{(1+\gamma_j+p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}}{(1+\gamma_j+\delta_j+p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}} |z| \right\} \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\left| I_{(\beta_s^{-1})^s}^{(\gamma_s);(\delta_s)} f(z) \right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma_j+p\beta_j)}{\Gamma(1+\gamma_j+\delta_j+p\beta_j)} |z|^p \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{(p-\alpha)}{2(p-\gamma)(1+p-\alpha)} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{(1+\gamma_j+p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}}{(1+\gamma_j+\delta_j+p\beta_j)_{\beta_j}} |z| \right\}. \quad (4.16)$$

The equality in (4.15) and (4.16) are attained by the function given by

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{(p-\alpha)}{2(p-\gamma)(1+p-\alpha)} z^{p+1}.$$

Proof. Setting $a = p, c = p, A = 1, B = -1$ in Theorem2 we get the result.

Remark 1. Setting $a = p, c = p, A = 1, B = -1, \gamma = \frac{2p-1}{2}$ in Theorem2 we get the corresponding result by Banerji and Shenan[2] (Theorem2.1, p.113).

Remark 2. Setting $a = p+1, c = p, A = 1, B = -1, \gamma = \frac{2p-1}{2}$ and $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem2 we get the corresponding result by Banerji and Shenan[2] (Theorem2.2, p.115). Several other particular cases studied by different authors can be obtained from Theorem 2 by specializing the parameters $s, \beta_s, \delta_s, \gamma_s, \alpha, \gamma, A, B, a$ and c see for example [19] and [2].

5 Convolution Results.

For the functions

$$f_j(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p,j} z^{k+p} \quad (a_{k+p,j} \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad (5.1)$$

the modified Hadmard product (or convolution) is defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_n)(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p,1} a_{k+p,2} \dots a_{k+p,n} z^{k+p} \quad (a_{k+p,j} \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

For positive real numbers $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ the generalized hadmard product is defined by

$$(f_1 \Delta f_2 \Delta \dots \Delta f_n)(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n; z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_{k+p,1})^{r_1} (a_{k+p,2})^{r_2} \dots (a_{k+p,n})^{r_n} z^{k+p},$$

or

$$\Delta_j f_j(r_j; z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n (a_{k+p,j})^{r_j} z^{k+p}. \quad (5.2)$$

If we take $r_1 = r_2 = \dots = r_n = 1$, then we have

$$(f_1 \Delta f_2 \Delta \dots \Delta f_n)(1, 1, \dots, 1; z) = (f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_n)(z).$$

It is also noted that if $f_j = f$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{r_j} = 1$, then

$$\Delta_j f\left(\frac{1}{r_j}; z\right) \equiv f(z)$$

Theorem 3. Let $f_j(z)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be defined by (4.1) belong to the class $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha_j)$ for each j . Then

$$\Delta_j f_j\left(\frac{1}{r_j}; z\right) \in T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \sigma),$$

where

$$\sigma = \min_{k \geq 1} \left\{ p - \frac{1}{A-B} \left[\frac{k(1+\beta)(a)_k C(\gamma, k)}{(c)_k \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha_i)](a)_k C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\alpha_i)(c)_k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r_j}}} - (a)_k C(\gamma, k) \right] \right\}. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. since $f_j(z) \in T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then by Theorem 2 we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha_i)](a)_k C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\alpha_i)(c)_k} a_{k+p,j} \leq 1 \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, n), \quad (5.4)$$

hence

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha_i)](a)_k C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\alpha_i)(c)_k} a_{k+p,j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r_j}} \leq 1. \quad (5.5)$$

Thus, using Holder's inequality, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_j \left\{ \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha_i)](a)_k C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\alpha_i)(c)_k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r_j}} \prod_j (a_{k+p,j})^{\frac{1}{r_j}} \leq 1, \quad (5.6)$$

since

$$\Delta_j f_j \left(\frac{1}{r_j}; z \right) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n (a_{k+p,j})^{\frac{1}{r_j}} z^{k+p},$$

we need to show

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\sigma)](a)_k C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\sigma)(c)_k} \prod_{j=1}^n (a_{k+p,j})^{\frac{1}{r_j}} \leq 1. \quad (5.7)$$

Now in view of (5.6) the inequality (5.7) holds if

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\sigma)](a)_k C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\sigma)(c)_k} \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha_i)](a)_k C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\alpha_i)(c)_k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r_j}} \end{aligned}$$

which simplifies to (5.3), hence we get the result.

Theorem 4. Let $f_j(z)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be defined by (4.1) belong to the class $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha_j)$ for each j . Then the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$h(z) = z^p - \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n r_j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n r_j |a_{p+k,j}| \right) z^{p+k}, \quad (5.8)$$

with $r_j > 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, belongs to the class $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \psi)$, where

$$\psi = \min_{k \geq 1} \left\{ p - \frac{k(1+B)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha_i)]}{(p-\alpha_i)} \right\} - (A-B)} \right\}. \quad (5.9)$$

Proof. since $f_j(z) \in T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then from (5.4) we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha_i)](a)_k C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\alpha_i)(c)_k} a_{k+p,j} r_j \leq r_j$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n r_j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha_i)](a)_k C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\alpha_i)(c)_k} a_{k+p,j} r_j \right) \leq 1. \quad (5.10)$$

To show that $h(z)$ belongs $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \psi)$, we need to show

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n r_j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\psi)](a)_k C(\gamma, k) \sum_{j=1}^n r_j a_{k+p,j}}{(A-B)(p-\psi)(c)_k} \right) \leq 1. \quad (5.11)$$

Now in view of (5.10) the inequality (5.11) holds if

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha_i)]}{(p-\alpha_i)} \leq \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\psi)]}{(p-\psi)}$$

which simplifies to (5.9), hence we get the result.

6 Integral transform of the class $T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$.

The generalized Komatu integral operator $L_{c,p}^{\delta}: T_p \rightarrow T_p$ is defined for $\delta > 0$ and $c > -p$ as (see, e.g. [8,15])

$$H(z) = L_{c,p}^{\delta} f(z) = \frac{(c+p)^{\delta}}{\Gamma(\delta) z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} \left(\log \frac{z}{t} \right)^{\delta-1} f(t) dt. \quad (6.1)$$

Notice that for $c = 1$ we get the integral operator introduced by Jung, Kim and Srivastava[5].

Theorem 5. Let $f(z) \in T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$. Then $L_{c,p}^\delta f(z) \in T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$

Proof. By using the definition of $L_{c,p}^\delta f(z)$ we have

$$L_{c,p}^\delta f(z) = \frac{(c+1)^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\delta-1} t^{c+p-1} \left(z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} t^k z^{k+p} \right) dt \quad (6.2)$$

Simplifying by using the definition of gamma function we get

$$L_{c,p}^\delta f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+p}{c+p+k} \right)^\delta a_{k+p} z^{k+p}. \quad (6.3)$$

Now $L_{c,p}^\delta f(z) \in T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$ if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\alpha)} \left(\frac{c+p}{c+p+k} \right)^\delta a_k \leq 1. \quad (6.4)$$

From Theorem 1 we have $f(z) \in T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B)k + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} C(\gamma, k)}{(A-B)(p-\alpha)} a_{k+p} \leq 1. \quad (6.5)$$

Thus in view of (5.5) and the fact that $\left(\frac{c+p}{c+p+k} \right) < 1$ for $K \geq 1$, (6.4) holds true ,

and hence $L_{c,p}^\delta f(z) \in T_p(a, c, A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$.

7 Open Problem

One can define the same class in this paper by using another operator for example Cho, Kwon , Srivastava operator which generalized the operator defined in this class and hence new results can be obtained.

References.

- [1] M. K. Aouf and H. Silverman, subclasses of p-valent and prestarlike functions, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, 2(2007), No. 8, 357 - 372.
- [2] P. K. Baneji and G. M. Shenan, On investigation of certain class of starlike and convex functions in terms of generalized fractional integral operators, *J. Indian Acad. Math.* 23 (2001), No. 1, 109-120.
- [3] B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **15** (1984), 737–745.
- [4] J. H. Choi and M. Saigo, Starlike and Convex function of complex order Involving a certain fractional integral operator. *Fukuoka Univ. Sci. Rep.* 2(1998), No. 28, 29-40.
- [5] R. M. Goel and N.S. Sohi A new criterion for p-valent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **78**(1980), 353–357.
- [6] S. L. Kalla and V. S. Kiryakova, On H-function generalized fractional calculus based upon compositions of Erdelyi-kober operators in L_p . *Math. Japonica* (1990), 1151-1177.
- [7] V. S. Kiryakova, Fractional integration operators involving Fox's $H_{m,m}^{m,0}$ function, C. R. Bulg. Acad. Sci., 41(11) (1988), 11-14.
- [8] S. M. Khairnar and M. More, On a subclass of multivalent β – uniformly starlike and convex functions defined by a linear operator. *IAENG Int. J. Appl. Math.* 39:3, IJAM 3-39-06 (2009).
- [9] G. A. Kumar and Gl. Reddy, Certain class of prestarlike functions, *J. Math. Res. Exposition* 12(1992), no.3, 402 -412.
- [10] S. Owa and B.A. Uralegaddi, A class of functions α –prestarlike of order β , *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 21(1984), 77 - 85.
- [11] D. A. Patil and N. K. Thakare, On convex hulls and extreme points of pvalent starlike and convex classes with applications, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roumanie (N.S.)*, 27 (1983), no. 75, 145 - 160.
- [12] M. Saigo, Aremark on integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric Functions, *Math. Rep. College General Ed. Kyushu Univ.* (1978), no.11, 135- 143.

- [13] M. Saigo, R. K. Raina, and A. A. Kilbas :On generalized fractional operators and their composition with axiymmetric differential operators of the potential theory on spaces $F_{p,\mu}$ and $F_{p,\mu}'$ *Fukuoka Univ. Sci. Rep.* 23(1993), 133-154.
- [14] H. Saitoh, A Linear operator and applications of the first order differential subordinations, *Math. Japon.* 44(1996), 31-38.
- [15] T. O. Salim, A Class of Multivalent Functions Involving a Generalized Linear Operator and Subordination, *Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis*, 2(2010), No. 2, 82-94.
- [16] S. G. Samko, Kilbas, A. A. and O.I. Marichev :On Fractional Integrals and Derivatives,theory and applications, (1993). Gorden and Breach, New York/Philadelphia/London/Paris/Montrev/Toronto/Melbourne.
- [17] T. Sheil - Small, H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, Convolution multipliersand starlike functions, *J. Analyse Math.* 41 (1982), 181 - 192.
- [18] G. M. Shenan, T. O. Salim and M. S. Marouf, A certain class of multivalent prestarlike functions involving the Srivastava -Saigo – Owa fractional integral operator, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 44(2004), 353 - 362.
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, M. Saigo and S. Owa, A class of distortion theorems involving certain operators of fractional calculus, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*,131(1988), 412-420.