

# Univalence Criteria for Analytic Functions related to Hurwitz Lerch Zeta function

**S.S.Howal**

Dr. S. S. M. Pratishtan College of Education,  
Ahmedpur-413 515, Dist. Latur (M.S.)  
e-mail: siddharthresearcher22@gmail.com

**P.Thirupathi Reddy**

Department of Mathematics,  
D.R.K.Institute of Science and Technology,  
Bowrampet, Hyderabad-500 043, TS,India.  
e-mail:reddypt2@gmail.com

**K.Venkata Subbaiah**

Department of Computer Science in Engineering,  
D.R.K.Institute of Science and Technology,  
Bowrampet, Hyderabad-500 043, TS,India.  
e-mail:kvsbbaiah@gmail.com

Received 23 October 2023; Accepted 3 December 2023

**Abstract**

*In this paper we obtain sufficient condition for univalence of analytic functions defined by Hurwitz-Lerach Zeta function.*

**Keywords:** *univalence, analytic, Hurwitz, convolution, integral operator, fractional derivative.*

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $A$  denote the class of analytic functions  $f$  defined on the unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  with normalization  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . Such a function has the Taylor series expansion about the origin in the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

denoted by  $S$ , the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions that are univalent in  $U$ . For  $f \in A$  given by (1) and  $g(z)$  given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (2)$$

their convolution (or Hadamard product), denoted by  $(f * g)$ , is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z), \quad (z \in U). \quad (3)$$

Note that  $f * g \in A$ .

In geometric function theory, the univalence of complex functions is an important property, but it is difficult, and in many cases impossible, to show directly that a certain complex function is univalent. For this reason, many authors found different types of sufficient conditions of univalence. One of the most important of these conditions of univalence in the domains  $E$  and the exterior of a closed unit disc is the well-known criterion of Becker [5]. Becker's work depends upon a clever use of the theory of Loewner chains and the generalized Loewner differential equation. Extensions of this criterion were given by Deniz and Orhan [9], Ali et al. [2] and Nehari [14].

In [13] Mustafa and Darus have recently introduced a new generalized integral operator  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} f(z)$  as we show in the following:

**Definition 1.1** A general Hurwitz- Lerch Zeta function  $\Phi(z, \mu, b)$  defined by

$$\Phi(z, \mu, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+b)^{\mu}},$$

where  $(\mu \in C, b \in C - Z_0^-)$  when  $|z| < 1$ , and  $R(b) > 1$  when  $(|z| = 1)$ .

We define the function

$$\Phi^*(z, \mu, b) = (b^{\mu} z \Phi(z, \mu, b)) * f(z),$$

then

$$\Phi^*(z, \mu, b) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(n+b-1)^{\mu}} z^n$$

**Definition 1.2** Let the function  $f$  be analytic in a simply connected domain of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin. The fractional derivative of  $f$  of order  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$D_z^{\alpha} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{\alpha}} dt, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1),$$

where the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{-\alpha}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z-t)$  to be real when  $(z-t) > 0$ .

Using Definition 1.2 and its known extensions involving fractional derivatives and fractional integrals, Owa and Srivastava [9] introduced the operator  $\Omega^\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  which is known as an extension of fractional derivative and fractional integral, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^\alpha f(z) &= \Gamma(2 - \alpha) z^\alpha D_z^\alpha f(z), \quad (\alpha \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U) \end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha \in C, b \in C - Z_0^-$ , and  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , the generalized integral operator  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f : A \rightarrow A$ , is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z) &= \Gamma(2 - \alpha) z^\alpha D_z^\alpha \Phi^*(z, \alpha, b), \quad (\alpha \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha) a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)z^\alpha D_z^\alpha \Phi^*(z, \alpha, b)}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} \left(\frac{b}{n-1+b}\right)^\mu$

Note that :  $\mathfrak{J}_{0,b}^\alpha f(z) = f(z)$ .

Special cases of this operator include :

- (i).  $\mathfrak{J}_{0,b}^\alpha f(z) \equiv \Omega^\alpha f(z)$  is Owa and Srivastava operator [16].
- (ii).  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b+1}^\alpha f(z) \equiv J_{\mu,b} f(z)$  is the Srivastava and Attiya integral operator[18].
- (iii).  $\mathfrak{J}_{1,1}^\alpha f(z) \equiv A(f)(z)$  is the Alexander integral operator [1].
- (iv).  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu+1,1}^\alpha f(z) \equiv L(f)(z)$  is the Libera integral operator [12].
- (v).  $\mathfrak{J}_{1,\delta}^\alpha f(z) \equiv L_\delta(f)(z)$  is the Bernardi integral operator [6].
- (vi).  $\mathfrak{J}_{\sigma,2}^\alpha f(z) \equiv I^\sigma f(z)$  is the Jung-Kim-Kim-Srivastava integral operator [11].

Now, by making use of the Hurwitz - Lerch zeta operator  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f$ , we define a new subclass of functions belonging to the class  $A$ . In this paper we derive sufficient conditions of univalence for the generalized operator  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z)$ . Also, a number of known univalent conditions would follow upon specializing the parameters involved. In order to prove our results we need the following Lemmas.

**Lemma 1.3** [5] *Let  $f \in A$ . If for all  $z \in E$*

$$(1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \tag{4}$$

*then the function  $f$  is univalent in  $E$ .*

**Lemma 1.4** [18] Let  $f \in A$ . If for all  $z \in E$

$$\left| \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{f^2(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 \quad (5)$$

then the function  $f$  is univalent in  $E$ .

**Lemma 1.5** [19] Let  $\mu$  be a real number  $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $f \in A$ . If for all  $z \in E$

$$(1 - |z|^{2\mu}) \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 - \mu \right| \leq \mu \quad (6)$$

then the function  $f$  is univalent in  $E$ .

**Lemma 1.6** [10] If  $f \in S$  ( the class of univalent functions ) and

$$\frac{z}{f(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (7)$$

then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)|b_n|^2 \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 1.7** [17] Let  $\nu \in C, \operatorname{Re}\{\nu\} \geq 0$  and  $f \in A$ . If for all  $z \in E$

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\nu)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\nu)} \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \quad (8)$$

then the function

$$F_\nu(z) = \left( \nu \int_0^z u^{\nu-1} f'(u) du \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$$

is univalent in  $E$ .

## 2 Main Results

In this section, we establish the sufficient conditions to obtain a univalence for analytic functions involving the differential operator.

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $f \in A$ . If for all  $z \in E$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha) [n(2n-1)] |a_n| \leq 1 \quad (9)$$

then  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha f(z)$  is univalent in  $E$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in A$ . Then for all  $z \in E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))'} \right| &\leq (1 + |z|^2) \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))'} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_n|} \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality is less than 1 if the assertion (9) is hold. Thus is view of Lemma 1.3,  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z)$  is univalent in  $E$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $f \in A$ . If for all  $z \in E$

$$\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \quad (10)$$

then  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z)$  is univalent in  $E$ .

Let  $f \in A$ . It sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{z^2(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))'}{2(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))^2} \right| \leq 1.$$

Now

$$\left| \frac{z^2(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))'}{2(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))^2} \right| \leq \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_n|}{2(1 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)]^m |a_n| - (\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_n|^2))}.$$

The last inequality is less than 1 if the assertion (10) is hold. Thus in view of Lemma 1.4,  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z)$  is univalent in  $E$ .

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $f \in A$ . If for all  $z \in E$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n[2(n-1) + (2\mu-1)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_n| \leq 2\mu - 1, \quad \mu > \frac{1}{2} \quad (11)$$

then  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z)$  is univalent in  $E$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in A$ . Then for all  $z \in E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z|^{2\mu}) \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))'} + 1 - \mu \right| &\leq (1 + |z|^2) \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))'} \right| + |1 - \mu| \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)[n(n-1)]|a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_n|} + |1 - \mu| \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality is less than  $\mu$  if the assertion (11) is hold. Thus is view of Lemma 1.5,  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z)$  is univalent in  $E$ .

As applications of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, we have the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $f \in A$ . If for all  $z \in E$  one of the inequality (9-11) holds then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)|b_n|^2 \leq 1, \quad (12)$$

where  $\frac{z}{\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in A$ . Then in view of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 or 2.3,  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z)$  is univalent in  $E$ .

Hence by Lemma 1.6, we obtain the result.

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $f \in A$ . If for all  $z \in E$*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n[2(n-1) + \operatorname{Re}(v)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_n| \leq \operatorname{Re}(v), \quad \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0 \quad (13)$$

then

$$G_v(z) = \left( v \int_0^z u^{v-1} [\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z)]' du \right)^{\frac{1}{v}}$$

is univalent in  $E$ .

Let  $f \in A$ . Then for all  $z \in E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))'} \right| &\leq \frac{1 + |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha f(z))'} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_n|} \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality is less than 1 if the assertion (13) is hold. Thus is view of Lemma 1.7,  $G_v(z)$  is univalent in  $E$ .

### 3 Conclusion

Special functions such as HurwitzLerch zeta functions have been continuously developed. Indeed, the theme of developments formula for Hurwitz- Lerach Zeta functions and correlated functions has a long history, which can be traced back to Goldbach and Euler. We obtained univalence conditions for analytic functions connected with Hurwitz- Lerach Zeta functions. The proposed operators can be employed to generalize other types of convolution, differential, and integral operators such as fractional operators or to establish several classes of normalized regular functions.

### 4 Open Problem

The authors suggest to find necessary and sufficient conditions for negative coefficients and study geometric and algebraic properties, partial sums, subordination and neighborhood results.

### References

- [1] J. W. Alexander, *Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions*, Ann. of Math. 17 (1915), 12-22.
- [2] R. M. Ali, M. Obradovic, and S. Ponnusamy, *Differential inequalities and univalent functions*, (2019), arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.01694.
- [3] M. H. Al-Abbadi and M. Darus, *Differential subordination for new generalised derivative operator*, Acta Univ. Apul., 20 (2009), 265-280.
- [4] F. M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27(2004), 1429-1436.
- [5] J. Becker, *Lownersche Differential gleichung und quasi-konform fortsetzbare schlichte funktionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 255 (1972), 23-43.
- [6] S. D. Bernardi, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (1969), 429-446.
- [7] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, *On new classes of univalent harmonic functions defined by generalized differential operator*, Acta Univ. Apul., 18 (2009), 61-69.

- [8] M. Darus, R. W. Ibrahim, *On univalence criteria for analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator*, Acta Univ. Apul., 23 (2010), 195-200.
- [9] E. Deniz and H. Orhan, *Univalence criterion for analytic functions*, Gen. Math., 4 (2009), 211-220
- [10] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Vol.I, and II, Mariner, Tampa, Florida, 1983.
- [11] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, and H. M. Srivastava, *The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl.176 (1993), 138-147.
- [12] R.J. Libera, *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 135(1969),429-449.
- [13] N.M. Mustafa and M. Darus, *Inclusion relations for subclasses of analytic functions defined by integral operators associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function*, Tamsui journal of 28(4),(2012,) 379-393.
- [14] Z. Nehari, *Some criteria of univalence*, Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc., 5(5) (1954), 700-704.
- [15] S. Ozaki, M. Nunokawa, *The Schwarzian derivative and univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 33(2) (1972), 392-394.
- [16] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, *Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics. 39(5)(1987), 1057-1077.
- [17] N. N. Pascu, *On the univalence criterion of Becker*, Math. ClujNapoca, 29(52) (2) (1987), 175-176.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, *An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz- Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 18 (2007), 207-216.
- [19] H. Tudor, *A sufficient condition for univalence*, General Math., 17(1) (2009), 89-94.