

(α, β) –Reverse Derivations On Prime and Semiprime Rings

Merve Özdemir, Neşet Aydın

Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University Dept. Math. Çanakkale - Turkey
e-mail:mat.merve3545@gmail.com
Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University Dept. Math. Çanakkale - Turkey
e-mail:neseta@comu.edu.tr

Received 1 April 2018; Accepted 28 April 2018
(Communicated by Ayhan Esi)

Abstract

In this paper we investigate some properties of (α, β) –reverse derivations on prime and semiprime rings. The first of the main results is that if R is a prime ring of characteristic not 2, (α, β) –reverse derivation and generalized (α, β) –reverse derivation are (α, β) –derivations and generalized (α, β) –derivations of R , respectively. The next main result is that if R is a 2–torsion free semiprime ring, following properties are obtained. Let α, β be two homomorphisms of R , $a, b, c \in R$, D, F and G be three (α, β) –reverse derivations of R . (i) Let α be automorphisms of R and β be epimorphisms of R . D is a (α, β) –reverse derivations of R if and only if D is a (β, α) –derivations of R . (ii) If $D(x) = c\alpha(x) + \beta(x)c$ then $D = 0$ and $c = 0$. (iii) If $D(x) = a\alpha(x) + \beta(x)b$ then D is inner (α, β) –derivation of R which is determined by a . (iv) If $F(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)G(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$ and α, β are automorphisms of R then $F(y)\alpha([z, x]) = \beta([z, x])G(y) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$; in particular, F and G map R into $Z(R)$.

Keywords: *semiprime ring, Reverse Derivation, generalized reverse derivation, Generalized (α, β) –Reverse Derivations*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16N60, 16W25, 17B60

1 Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with center $Z(R)$ and $\alpha, \beta : R \rightarrow R$ be two mappings. R is said to be *2-torsion free* if $2x = 0$ then $x = 0$. A ring R is called a *semiprime* if $a \in R$ and $aRa = (0)$ implies that $a = 0$. R is called a *prime ring* if $a, b \in R$ and $aRb = (0)$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. For $x, y \in R$, $xy - yx$ is denoted by $[x, y]$ and $x\alpha(y) - \beta(y)x$ is denoted by $[x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}$. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a *derivation* if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. For a fixed $a \in R$, the mapping $I_a : R \rightarrow R$ is given by $I_a(x) = [a, x]$ which is called an *inner derivation* determined by a . In [1], generalized derivation in rings is defined as: An additive mapping $f : R \rightarrow R$ is called a *generalized derivation* if there exists a derivation d of R such that $f(xy) = f(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Set $C_{\alpha, \beta} = \{c \in R \mid c\alpha(r) = \beta(r)c \text{ for all } r \in R\}$ and it is known as (α, β) -center of R . In particular, $C_{1,1} = Z(R)$ where $1 : R \rightarrow R$ is identity map. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called an (α, β) -derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $D : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a *generalized (α, β) -derivation* associated with d such that d is a (α, β) -derivation of R if

$$D(xy) = D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

For a fixed $a \in R$, the mapping $I_a : R \rightarrow R$ is given by $I_a(x) = [a, x]_{\alpha, \beta}$ which is called (α, β) -inner derivation determined by a .

The notion of reverse derivation arose in the previous paper of Herstein [2]. The notion of reverse derivation has relations with some generalizations of derivations. A *reverse derivation* is an additive mapping D from a ring R into itself satisfying $D(xy) = D(y)x + yD(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$. In [2], Herstein showed that if R is a prime ring and D is a nonzero reverse derivation of R , then R is a commutative integral domain and D is a derivation. Latter Samman and Alyamani extended the result of Herstein to semiprime rings in [5].

In this paper we extend the notion of reverse derivation to that of (α, β) -reverse derivation and generalized (α, β) -reverse derivation. An additive mapping $D : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be an (α, β) -reverse derivation of R if

$$D(xy) = D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)D(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Let d be a (α, β) -reverse derivation. An additive mapping $D : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a *generalized (α, β) -reverse derivation* associated with d if

$$D(xy) = D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)d(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

One of the main purpose of this paper is to show that if R is a prime ring, D is a nonzero (α, β) -reverse derivation of R , then D is a (α, β) -derivation of R and if D is a nonzero generalized (α, β) -reverse derivation of R , then D is a generalized (α, β) -derivation of R .

We will show that while the notions of (α, β) -derivation and (α, β) -reverse derivation do not coincide, the set of all (α, β) -derivations and the set of all (α, β) -reverse derivations on a ring R are not disjoint.

One of the other main aim is to show that for a semiprime ring R , any (α, β) -reverse derivation is in fact a (α, β) -derivation mapping R into the center.

Throughout this paper, R is a ring, $Z(R)$ is the center of R , α, β are homomorphisms of R and $C_{\alpha, \beta} = \{c \in R \mid c\alpha(x) = \beta(x)c, \forall x \in R\}$. We use the basic commutator identities:

- $[x, y] = -[y, x] = [-y, x] = [y, -x] = [-x, -y]$
- $(x, yz) = y(x, z) + [x, y]z$
- $[x, yz]_{\alpha, \beta} = [x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}\alpha(z) + \beta(y)[x, z]_{\alpha, \beta}$

The material in this work is a part of first author's Master's Thesis which is supervised by Prof. Dr. Neşet Aydın.

2 (α, β) -Reverse Derivation on Prime Rings

The following theorem generalize [2, Theorem 2.1]

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a prime ring and β be a automorphisms of R . A mapping D on R is a nonzero (α, β) -reverse derivation of R if and only if R is commutative and D is an ordinary (α, β) -derivation of R .*

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in R$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} D(x(yz)) &= D(yz)\alpha(x) + \beta(yz)D(x) \\ &= D(z)\alpha(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(z)D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)\beta(z)D(x) \end{aligned}$$

and so we have

$$D(x(yz)) = D(z)\alpha(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(z)D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)\beta(z)D(x) \quad (1)$$

Additionally

$$D((xy)z) = D(z)\alpha(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(z)D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(z)\beta(y)D(x) \quad (2)$$

Note that $(xy)z = x(yz)$, the results of (1) and (2) must be equal. Thus, by comparing them, we obtain

$$D(z)\alpha([x, y]) + \beta([z, y])D(x) = 0 \quad (3)$$

If in (3), we substitute $y = x$ we see that

$$\beta([z, x])D(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, z \in R. \quad (4)$$

Replacing z by zy , $y \in R$ in (4) and using (4)

$$\beta([z, x])\beta(y)D(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R$$

is obtained. Since R is prime ring, it gives us

$$x \in Z(R) \text{ or } D(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

Define $A = \{x \in R \mid x \in Z(R)\}$ and $B = \{x \in R \mid D(x) = 0\}$. It is clear that A and B are additive subgroups of R such that $R = A \cup B$. But a group can not be union two of its proper subgroups and therefore $R = A$ or $R = B$. If $R = B$ then $D = 0$. This is a contradiction. So $R = A$. For this reason R is commutative. So

$$D(xy) = D(yx) = D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y)$$

Therefore D is an ordinary (α, β) -derivation. \square

Furthermore, the following theorem generalizes Theorem 2.1 and [2, Theorem 2.1]

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a prime ring and β be a automorphisms of R . A mapping D is a nonzero generalized (α, β) -reverse derivation with (α, β) -reverse derivation d of R if and only if R is commutative and D is an ordinary generalized (α, β) -derivation with a (α, β) -derivation d of R .*

Proof. Since d is a (α, β) -reverse derivation, by Lemma 2.1 R is commutative and d is a (α, β) -derivation of R . Since R is commutative, we get

$$D(xy) = D(yx) = D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y) \text{ for all } y \in R.$$

Therefore D is an ordinary generalized (α, β) -derivation of R with (α, β) -derivation d of R . \square

3 (α, β) -Reverse Derivation on Semiprime Rings

The following theorem generalizes [5, Proposition 3.1].

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a semiprime ring, α be automorphisms of R , β be epimorphisms of R . A mapping D is a (α, β) -reverse derivation of R if and only if D is central (β, α) -derivation of R .*

Proof. It is clear that if D is central (β, α) -derivation of R then $D(xy) = D(x)\beta(y) + \alpha(x)D(y) = D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)D(x)$ and so D is a (α, β) -reverse derivation of R . So let us suppose that D is a (α, β) -reverse derivation of R . Then

$$D(x(yz)) = D(z)\alpha(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(z)D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)\beta(z)D(x)$$

and also

$$D((xy)z) = D(z)\alpha(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(z)D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(z)\beta(y)D(x)$$

Together with the last two equations we get

$$\beta([y, z])D(x) = D(z)\alpha([x, y]) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (5)$$

Replacing z by y in (5), we have

$$D(y)\alpha([x, y]) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (6)$$

By writing x by zx in equation (6) and using (6), we obtain

$$D(y)\alpha(z)\alpha([x, y]) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (7)$$

Linearizing (6) (in y) and using (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D(u+y)\alpha([u+y, x]) \\ &= (D(u) + D(y))(\alpha([u, x]) + \alpha([y, x])) \\ &= D(u)\alpha([u, x]) + D(u)\alpha([y, x]) + D(y)\alpha([u, x]) + D(y)\alpha([y, x]) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$D(u)\alpha([y, x]) + D(y)\alpha([u, x]) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, u \in R.$$

That is,

$$D(y)\alpha([u, x]) = D(u)\alpha([x, y]) \text{ for all } x, y, u \in R. \quad (8)$$

By taking $[u, x]z\alpha^{-1}(D(u))$ instead of z in the equation (7) and using (8) then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D(y)\alpha([u, x]z\alpha^{-1}(D(u)))\alpha([x, y]) \\ &= D(y)\alpha([u, x])\alpha(z)D(u)\alpha([x, y]) \\ &= (D(y)\alpha([u, x])\alpha(z)D(y)\alpha([u, x])) \end{aligned}$$

Since R is semiprime and α is automorphisms we have

$$D(y)\alpha([u, x]) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, u \in R. \quad (9)$$

Replacing u by $\alpha^{-1}(D(y))$ in (9) we get

$$D(y)[D(y), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Hence, by [3, Lemma 1.1.4], $D(y) \in Z(R)$, for all $y \in R$, i.e. $D(R) \subset Z(R)$. This implies that $D(xy) = D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)D(x) = D(x)\beta(y) + \alpha(x)D(y)$. That is D is (β, α) -derivation of R . \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, $c \in R$, α, β be epimorphisms of R and $D : R \rightarrow R$ such that $D(x) = c\alpha(x) + \beta(x)c$. If D is a (α, β) -reverse derivation of R then $D = 0$ and $c = 0$.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in R$, using the definition of D and the hypothesis we get

$$D(xy) = c\alpha(xy) + \beta(xy)c$$

on the other hand, using the D is a (α, β) -reverse derivation of R

$$\begin{aligned} D(xy) &= D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)D(x) \\ &= (c\alpha(y) + \beta(y)c)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)(c\alpha(x) + \beta(x)c) \\ &= c\alpha(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)c\alpha(x) + \beta(y)c\alpha(x) + \beta(y)\beta(x)c \\ &= c\alpha(yx) + 2\beta(y)c\alpha(x) + \beta(yx)c \\ &= D(yx) + 2\beta(y)c\alpha(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$D([x, y]) = 2\beta(y)c\alpha(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (10)$$

Similarly

$$D([y, x]) = 2\beta(x)c\alpha(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R$$

is obtained. Since $D([x, y]) + D([y, x]) = 0$ and R is 2-torsion free we get

$$\beta(x)c\alpha(y) + \beta(y)c\alpha(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (11)$$

Replacing x by xz in (11) and using (11) we have

$$\beta(x)\beta(z)c\alpha(y) - \beta(x)c\alpha(y)\alpha(z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R$$

that is

$$\beta(x)(\beta(z)c\alpha(y) - c\alpha(y)\alpha(z)) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R$$

Since β is epimorphism and R is semiprime ring, this implies that

$$\beta(z)c\alpha(y) - c\alpha(y)\alpha(z) = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in R \quad (12)$$

From (11) and (12), we get

$$(\beta(y)c + c\alpha(y))\alpha(z) = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in R$$

Since α is epimorphisms and R is semiprime ring, the last equation implies that

$$c\alpha(y) + \beta(y)c = 0 \text{ for all } y \in R \quad (13)$$

That is $D = 0$. From the equation (10), we have $2\beta(y)c\alpha(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Since R is 2-torsion free semiprime ring, $c = 0$ is obtained. \square

Example 3.3. Consider the ring $R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \mid x, y, z \in S \right\}$, where S is a commutative ring with 1 and define $\alpha \left(\begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} z & y \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix}$, $\beta \left(\begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ be fixed elements of R and define $D : R \rightarrow R$ by $D(X) = A\alpha(X) + \beta(X)B$. As a result it is easy to check that D is (α, β) -reverse derivation of R but not (α, β) -derivation of R .

Lemma 3.4. Let R be a ring, $a, b \in R$, α, β be mappings of R and $D(x) = a\alpha(x) + \beta(x)b$. If D is a (α, β) -reverse derivation of R then the equality

$$a(\alpha(xy) - \alpha(y)\alpha(x)) + (\beta(xy) - \beta(y)\beta(x))b = \beta(y)(b+a)\alpha(x)$$

is satisfied.

Proof. For any $x, y \in R$,

$$D(xy) = a\alpha(xy) + \beta(xy)b \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, since D is also a (α, β) -reverse derivation

$$\begin{aligned} D(xy) &= D(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)D(x) \\ &= (a\alpha(y) + \beta(y)b)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)(a\alpha(x) + \beta(x)b) \\ &= a\alpha(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)b\alpha(x) + \beta(y)a\alpha(x) + \beta(y)\beta(x)b \end{aligned}$$

Now using (14) and the last equation

$$a\alpha(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)b\alpha(x) + \beta(y)a\alpha(x) + \beta(y)\beta(x)b = a\alpha(xy) + \beta(xy)b$$

hence we get

$$a(\alpha(xy) - \alpha(y)\alpha(x)) + (\beta(xy) - \beta(y)\beta(x))b = \beta(y)(b+a)\alpha(x) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R \quad (15)$$

is obtained. \square

The following theorem generalizes [5, Proposition 3.4].

Theorem 3.5. Let R be a 2-torsion semiprime ring, $a, b \in R$, α be epimorphisms of R , β be automorphisms of R and $D : R \rightarrow R$ such that $D(x) = a\alpha(x) + \beta(x)b$. If D is a nonzero (α, β) -reverse derivation of R then D is ordinary inner (α, β) -derivation of R which is determined by a .

Proof. Using Lemma 3.4, we have

$$D([x, y]) = \beta(y)(b+a)\alpha(x), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R$$

Similarly,

$$D([y, x]) = \beta(x)(b+a)\alpha(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R$$

is obtained. Since $D([x, y]) + D([y, x]) = 0$, we get

$$\beta(x)(b+a)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)(b+a)\alpha(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R \quad (16)$$

Replacing x by xz in (16) and using (16) it gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \beta(x)\beta(z)(b+a)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)(b+a)\alpha(x)\alpha(z) \\ &= \beta(x)\beta(z)(b+a)\alpha(y) - \beta(x)(b+a)\alpha(y)\alpha(z) \end{aligned}$$

that is $\beta(x)(\beta(z)(b+a)\alpha(y) - (b+a)\alpha(y)\alpha(z)) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in R$. Since β is epimorphism and R is semiprime ring,

$$\beta(z)(b+a)\alpha(y) - (b+a)\alpha(y)\alpha(z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R$$

is obtained. Applying (16) the last equation gives

$$(\beta(y)(b+a) + (b+a)\alpha(y))\alpha(z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R.$$

Since α is epimorphism and R is semiprime ring, we have

$$\beta(y)(b+a) + (b+a)\alpha(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R \quad (17)$$

Considering (17) together with (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \beta(x)(b+a)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)(b+a)\alpha(x) \\ &= -\beta(x)\beta(y)(b+a) - \beta(y)\beta(x)(b+a) \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\beta(xy + yx)(b+a) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R \quad (18)$$

is obtained. In (18) replace y by yz and use the equation $x(yz) + (yz)x = y(xz + zx) + [x, y]z$ we have

$$\beta(y(xz + zx) + [x, y]z)(b+a) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R$$

Using β is homomorphism and applying (18) it implies

$$\beta([x, y])\beta(z)(b+a) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R$$

Putting $z = \beta^{-1}(b+a)z[x, y]$ in the last equation and by using β is automorphism, we have

$$\beta([x, y])(b+a)\beta(z)\beta([x, y])(b+a) = 0$$

Since R is semiprime we get

$$\beta([x, y])(b + a) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R$$

that is

$$\beta(xy - yx)(b + a) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R \quad (19)$$

From equation (18) and (19) we get $2\beta(xy)(b + a) = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Since R is 2-torsion free semiprime ring we have $b + a = 0$ that is $b = -a$. Now using the definition of D we get

$$D(x) = a\alpha(x) - \beta(x)a = [a, x]_{\alpha, \beta}$$

Therefore D is ordinary inner (α, β) -derivation of R determined by a . \square

This establishes the following corollary. Moreover the following corollary generalizes [5, Lemma 3.5]

Corollary 3.6. *Let R be a 2-torsion semiprime ring, $a, b \in R$, α be epimorphisms of R , β be automorphisms of R and $D : R \rightarrow R$ such that $D(x) = a\alpha(x) + \beta(x)b$. If D is a nonzero (α, β) -reverse derivation of R such that $D(R) = 0$ then $a = -b \in C_{\alpha, \beta}$.*

The following theorem is another generalization of [5, Proposition 3.4]

Theorem 3.7. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, $a, b \in R$, α be anti-epimorphisms of R , β be anti-automorphisms of R and $D(x) = a\alpha(x) + \beta(x)b$. If D is a nonzero (α, β) -reverse derivation of R then D is ordinary inner (α, β) -derivation of R which is determined by a .*

Proof. By using (15), and α, β are anti-homomorphisms, we have

$$\beta(y)(b + a)\alpha(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R$$

Since R is semiprime ring we have $b + a = 0$, that is, $b = -a$. Now using the definition of D we get

$$D(x) = a\alpha(x) - \beta(x)a = [a, x]_{\alpha, \beta}$$

Therefore D is ordinary inner (α, β) -derivation of R determined by a . \square

A generalization of [4, Theorem 2.2] is presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8. *Let R be a semiprime ring, α, β be a automorphisms and F, G be (α, β) -reverse derivations of R such that*

$$F(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)G(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (20)$$

Then $F(y)\alpha([z, x]) = \beta([z, x])G(y) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$; in particular, F and G map R into $Z(R)$.

Proof. Replacing x by xy in (20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F(xy)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)G(xy) \\ &= F(y)\alpha(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)F(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)G(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)\beta(y)G(x) \\ &= F(y)\alpha(xy) + \beta(y)G(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)(F(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)G(x)) \\ &= F(y)\alpha(xy) + \beta(y)G(y)\alpha(x) \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$F(y)\alpha(xy) + \beta(y)G(y)\alpha(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (21)$$

By (20), $\beta(y)G(y) = -F(y)\alpha(y)$. So from (21), we get

$$F(y)\alpha(xy) + \beta(y)G(y)\alpha(x) = F(y)\alpha(xy) - F(y)\alpha(y)\alpha(x) = 0.$$

That is

$$F(y)\alpha([x, y]) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (22)$$

Let $z \in R$. Replacing x by $\alpha^{-1}(z)x$ in (22) and using (22), we have

$$F(y)z\alpha([x, y]) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (23)$$

Linearizing (22) (in y) and using (22),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F(y+z)\alpha([x, y+z]) = (F(y) + F(z))\alpha([x, y] + [x, z]) \\ &= F(y)\alpha([x, y]) + F(y)\alpha([x, z]) + F(z)\alpha([x, y]) + F(z)\alpha([x, z]) \\ &= F(y)\alpha([x, z]) + F(z)\alpha([x, y]) \end{aligned}$$

is obtained. That is, $F(y)\alpha([x, z]) = -F(z)\alpha([x, y])$. So

$$F(z)\alpha([x, y]) = F(y)\alpha([z, x]) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (24)$$

We want to prove that $F(y)\alpha([z, x]) = 0$. For this purpose, let $v \in R$ and consider $F(y)\alpha([z, x])vF(y)\alpha([z, x])$. Then by (24), we have

$$F(y)\alpha([z, x])vF(y)\alpha([z, x]) = F(y)\alpha([z, x])vF(z)\alpha([x, y]) \quad (25)$$

Putting $w = \alpha([z, x])vF(z)$, by (23) and (25), we get $F(y)\alpha([z, x])vF(y)\alpha([z, x]) = F(y)w\alpha([x, y]) = 0$ for all $x, y, w \in R$. Hence by the semiprimeness of R we get

$$F(y)\alpha([z, x]) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (26)$$

This proves the first identity.

We now show that $F(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. Replacing z by $z\alpha^{-1}(F(y))$ in (26) and using (26), we get

$$F(y)\alpha(z)[F(y), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z, u \in R. \quad (27)$$

Replacing z by xz in (27) we have

$$F(y)\alpha(x)\alpha(z) [F(y), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z, u \in R. \quad (28)$$

Multiplying (27) by $-\alpha(x)$ on the left, we get

$$-\alpha(x)F(y)\alpha(z) [F(y), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z, u \in R. \quad (29)$$

Adding (28) and (29), it gives

$$[F(y), \alpha(x)]\alpha(z) [F(y), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, z, u \in R.$$

Hence by the semiprimeness of R we get

$$[F(y), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (30)$$

This shows that $F(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. Moreover, this proves another assertion about F .

We now show that $\beta([x, y])G(z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. For this purpose, replacing y by $[x, y]$ in (20) and using (22), we obtain

$$\beta([x, y])G(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (31)$$

Replacing y by $y\beta^{-1}(z)$ in (31) and using (31), we get

$$\beta([x, y])zG(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (32)$$

Linearizing (31) (in x) and using (31) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\beta([x, y]) + \beta([z, y])) (G(x) + G(z)) \\ &= \beta([x, y])G(x) + \beta([z, y])G(x) + \beta([x, y])G(z) + \beta([z, y])G(z) \\ &= \beta([z, y])G(x) + \beta([x, y])G(z) \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\beta([x, y])G(z) = \beta([y, z])G(x) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (33)$$

Let $v \in R$ and consider $\beta([x, y])G(z)v\beta([x, y])G(z)$. Then by (33), we have

$$\beta([x, y])G(z)v\beta([x, y])G(z) = \beta([x, y])G(z)v\beta([y, z])G(x) \quad (34)$$

Putting $w = G(z)v\beta([y, z])$, by (32) and (34), we get $\beta([x, y])G(z)v\beta([x, y])G(z) = \beta([x, y])wG(x) = 0$ for all $x, y, w \in R$. Hence by the semiprimeness of R we get

$$\beta([x, y])G(z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R. \quad (35)$$

This gives the second identity.

We now show that $G(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. Replacing y by $\beta^{-1}(G(y))w$ in (35) and using (35), we get

$$[\beta(x), G(y)]\beta(w)G(z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, w, z \in R. \quad (36)$$

Replacing w by wx in (36) we have

$$[\beta(x), G(y)]\beta(w)\beta(x)G(z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, w, z \in R. \quad (37)$$

Multiplying (36) by $\beta(x)$ on the right, we get

$$-[\beta(x), G(y)]\beta(w)G(z)\beta(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, w, z \in R. \quad (38)$$

Adding (37) and (38), it gives

$$[\beta(x), G(y)]\beta(w)[\beta(x), G(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, w, z \in R.$$

in particular

$$[\beta(x), G(y)]\beta(w)[\beta(x), G(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, w \in R.$$

Hence by the semiprimeness of R we get

$$[\beta(x), G(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

This shows that $G(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. This proves another assertion about G . \square

4 Open Problem

How to generalize these theorems and results for a nonzero ideal or Lie ideal of semiprime rings?

References

- [1] M. Brešar, "On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations", *Glasgow Math. J.* Vol.33, No.1, , (1991), pp.89 – 93.
- [2] I. N. Herstein, "Jordan Derivations of Prime Rings", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.8, No.6, (1957), 1104 – 1110
- [3] I. N. Herstein, "Rings with Involution", *The University of Chicago Press*, (1976).
- [4] M. S. Samman and A. B. Thaheem, "Derivations on semiprime rings", *Int. Journal of Pure and Applied Math.*, Vol.2, No.4,(2003), 465 – 472
- [5] M. S. Samman and N. Alyamani, "Derivations and reverse derivations in semiprime rings", *Int. Math. Forum*, Vol. 2, No.39, (2007), 1895 – 1902